FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP

Brian S. Schaffer Frank J. Mazzaferro 28 Liberty Street, 30th Floor New York, New York 10005 Telephone: (212) 300-0375

RAYMOND NARDO, P.C.

Raymond Nardo 129 Third Street Mineola, New York 11501 Telephone: (516) 248-2121

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DOMENICK SACCA, individually and or behalf of all others similarly situated,	1
Plaintiff, -against-	No:
SUNRUN INC.,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Defendant.	

Domenick Sacca ("Sacca" or "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, as class representative, upon personal knowledge as to himself, and upon information and belief as to other matters, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This lawsuit seeks to recover underpayment caused by untimely wage payments and other damages for Plaintiff and similarly situated non-exempt hourly positions such as site technician auditors and technicians (collectively, "Hourly Workers") who work or have worked for Sunrun Inc. ("Sunrun" or "Defendant") in New York State.
- 2. At all relevant times, Defendant has compensated Plaintiff and all other Hourly Workers in New York on a bi-weekly basis.
- 3. Despite being manual workers, Defendant has failed to properly pay Plaintiff and other Hourly Workers in New York their wages within seven calendar days after the end of the

week in which these wages were earned.

- 4. In this regard, Defendant has failed to provide timely wages to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Hourly Workers in New York.
- 5. Manual Workers, as contemplated by NYLL § 191, are "dependent upon their wages for sustenance." *People v. Vetri*, 309 N.Y. 401, 405 (1955).
- 6. As such, the failure to provide wages owed to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Hourly Workers, according to NYLL § 191, constitutes an "especially acute injury." *See Caul v. Petco Animal Supplies, Inc.*, No. 20 Civ. 3534 (RPK) (SJB), 2021 WL 4407856, at *4 (E.D.N.Y. Sep. 27, 2021) (citing *Vega v. CM & Assocs. Constr. Mgmt., LLC*, 175 A.D.3d 1144, 1146 (N.Y. 1st Dept. 2019)).
- 7. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated Hourly Workers in New York pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 ("Rule 23") to remedy violations of the New York Labor Law, Article 6, §§ 190 et seq. ("NYLL").

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

Domenick Sacca

- 8. Sacca is an adult individual who is a resident of the State of New York.
- 9. Sacca was employed by Sunrun as a site technician auditor, an Hourly Worker, earning approximately \$26.75 per hour from on or around March 8, 2022 through October 3, 2022.
 - 10. Sacca is a covered employee within the meaning of the NYLL.

Defendant

Sunrun Inc.

11. Defendant is a foreign business corporation organized and existing under the laws

of Delaware.

- 12. Defendant's principal executive office is located at 225 Bush Street, Suite 1400, San Francisco, California 94104.
- 13. Defendant was and is a covered employer within the meaning of the NYLL, and at all times relevant, employed Plaintiff and similarly situated employees.
- 14. Defendant has maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiff and similar employees, including timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices that applied to them.
- 15. Defendant applies the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Hourly Workers in its operation, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to payment of wages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 16. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because the amount in controversy against the Defendant in this matter exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class are citizens of states different from that of Defendant.
 - 17. There are over 100 members in the proposed class.
 - 18. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in New York.
- 19. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, and Defendant conducts business in this District.

NEW YORK CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

20. Plaintiff brings the First Cause of Action, an NYLL claim, under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of himself and a class of persons consisting of:

All persons who work or have worked as Hourly Workers for Sunrun in New York from October 25, , 2017 through the date of final judgment in this matter (the "New York Class").

- 21. The members of the New York Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the Court.
 - 22. There are more than fifty members of the New York Class.
- 23. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those claims that could be alleged by any member of the New York Class, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each member of the New York Class in separate actions.
- 24. Plaintiff and the New York Class have all been injured in that they have been uncompensated, under-compensated, or untimely compensated due to Defendant's common policies, practices, and patterns of conduct. Defendant's corporate-wide policies and practices affected everyone in the New York Class similarly, and Defendant benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each member of the New York Class.
- 25. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the New York Class and has no interests antagonistic to the New York Class.
- 26. Plaintiff is represented by attorneys who are experienced and competent in both class action litigation and employment litigation and have previously represented many plaintiffs and classes in wage and hour cases.
- 27. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where

individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similar persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender.

- 28. Common questions of law and fact exist as to the New York Class that predominate over any questions only affecting Plaintiff and/or each member of the New York Class individually and include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) whether Defendant correctly compensated Plaintiff and the New York Class on a timely basis.

PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

29. Consistent with their policies and patterns or practices as described herein, Defendant harmed Plaintiff, individually, as follows:

Domenick Sacca

- 30. Sacca was employed by Defendant as a site technician auditor for Sunrun operating out of Defendant's office located at 177 Cantiague Rock Rd, Westbury, New York 11590.
- 31. During Sacca's employment, over twenty-five percent of his duties were physical tasks, including but not limited to: (1) inspecting breakers, amperages, attics, pitch, and spacing; (2) loading and unloading parts; (3) measuring rooftops; (4) flying drones; and (5) checking the quality of shingles, amongst other physical tasks.
- 32. Despite regularly spending more than twenty-five percent of his shift performing these physical tasks, Sacca has been compensated by Defendant on a bi-weekly basis.
- 33. In this regard, Defendant failed to pay Sacca his wages earned as required by NYLL § 191(1)(a).
 - 34. As a result of Defendant's untimely wage payments, Sacca was underpaid every

corresponding period where Defendant paid Sacca on an untimely basis.

- 35. Moreover, Plaintiff was denied the time-value of his money by Defendant's underpayments. Plaintiff was unable to invest, save, or purchase utilizing the wages he earned and was owed.
- 36. For instance, Sacca was already past due on his rent and credit card bills, and fell further behind by not being able to pay them because his wages were held for an extra week.
- 37. Plaintiff routinely went grocery shopping once a week, but did not receive his pay every week, which impaired his ability to purchase groceries.
- 38. Plaintiff's ability to purchase gas every week, or every few days, was impaired since he was only being paid once every two weeks.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Pay Timely Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

- 39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 40. The timely payment of wages provisions NYLL § 191 and its supporting regulations apply to Defendant and protect Plaintiff and the New York Class.
- 41. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the New York Class on a timely basis as required by NYLL § 191(1)(a), which resulted in Plaintiff and the New York Class being underpaid.
- 42. Due to Defendant's violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the New York Class are entitled to recover from Defendant the amount of the underpayments caused by their untimely wage payments as liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided for by NYLL § 198.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of all other similar persons,

respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:

A. Certification of this case as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules

of Civil Procedure;

B. Designation of Plaintiff as representative of the New York Rule 23 Class and

counsel of record as Class Counsel;

C. Liquidated damages permitted by law pursuant to the NYLL.

D. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

E. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action; and

F. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York

October 25, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian S. Schaffer

Brian S. Schaffer

FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP

Brian S. Schaffer

Frank J. Mazzaferro

28 Liberty Street, 30th Floor

New York, New York 10005

Telephone: (212) 300-0375

RAYMOND NARDO, P.C.

Raymond Nardo

129 Third Street

7

Mineola, New York 11501 Telephone: (516)248-2121

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class