UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

ERASMO DURAN individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No.: 1:20-cv-00445 Collective Action (29 U.S.C. § 216(b)) Rule 23 Class Action

v.

HILL COUNTRY STAFFING COMPANY, LLC

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

SUMMARY

1. This lawsuit seeks to recover overtime compensation for Duran and his similarly situated co-workers who held the position of Equipment Operators and all other similar hourly non-exempt employees (collectively, "Non-Exempt Workers") - who work or have worked for Hill Country Staffing, Co. ("HCS") nationwide.

2. Started in 1998, HCS is a staffing company that provides staffing services for companies in the oil and gas industry, providing Equipment Operators, Pipeline Worker, and Drillers to meet the everchanging needs of the oil and gas industry.¹

3. Headquartered in Georgetown, Texas, HCS subcontracts with oil and gas companies nationwide.

4. As a result, HCS employs and compensates several hundreds of oilfield workers throughout the United States.

5. Duran and similarly situated Non-Exempt Workers typically work 11 to 20-hour shifts,7 days a week for weeks at a time, all while in some of the harshest working conditions.

¹ About Us (available at: https://www.hillcountrystaffing.com/about-us) (last visited April 27, 2020).

Case 1:20-cv-00445-RP Document 1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 2 of 8

In fact, HCS indicates on its website that Equipment Operators should expect to work
 14 to 21 consecutive work days "with long hours."²

7. Despite this, Equipment Operators and other similar Non-Exempt Workers do not receive overtime pay for all the hours work in excess of 40 per workweek in violation the Fair Labor Standards Act § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"). Rather, HCS will often pay Non-Exempt Workers straight time pay at their regular hourly rate.

8. Duran brings this action on behalf of himself and similarly situated current and former Non-Exempt Workers who elect to opt-in to this action pursuant to the FLSA, and specifically, the collective action provision of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to remedy violations of the wage-and-hour provisions of the FLSA by HCS that has deprived Duran and similarly situated employees of their lawfully earned wages.

9. Duran also bring this action on behalf of himself and similarly situated Non-Exempt Workers in Pennsylvania pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 ("Rule 23") to remedy violations of the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has original jurisdiction under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331(a),
1332(a), and/or pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

11. The proposed collective action includes a total number of Durans in excess of 100.

12. Venue is proper in the Western District of Texas because HCSs have their corporate headquarters based in this District and perform business in this District.

² See Equipment Operators, (*available at* https://www.hillcountrystaffing.com/equipment-operator (last visited April 27, 2020).

PARTIES

13. Erasmo Duran ("Duran") is an adult individual who is a resident of the State of Arizona.

14. Duran was employed by HCS as an Equipment Operator from approximately November 2016 through March 2019.

15. At all relevant times, Duran was an "employee" of HCS as defined by the FLSA and the PMWA.

16. At all relevant times, HCS was Duran's "employer" as defined in the FLSA and the PMWA.

17. A written consent form for Duran is being filed with this Class Action Complaint as Exhibit 1.

HCS

Hill Country Staffing, Co.

18. Hill Country Staffing, Co. has co-owned and/or co-operated all HCS corporations and work sites throughout the United States during the relevant time period.

19. HCS Group is a domestic limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Texas.

HCS Group identifies its mailing address as 501 S Austin Ave., #1310. Georgetown,
 TX 78626.

21. At all relevant times, HCS has maintained control, oversight, and direction over Duran and similarly situated employees, including, but not limited to, hiring, firing, disciplining, timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices.

22. HCS applies the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Non-Exempt Workers throughout the United States.

- 3 -

Case 1:20-cv-00445-RP Document 1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 4 of 8

23. At all times relevant, HCS has had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.00 and has had at least two employees that handle, use, work on, or sell goods or materials that were produced for interstate commerce or that moved in interstate commerce, including vehicles, telephones, office equipment, tools, and safety equipment.

FACTS

24. Consistent with their policies and patterns or practices as described herein, HCS harmed Duran, individually, as follows:

Erasmo Duran

25. HCS employed Duran to work on various oil and gas sites operated by companies that subcontract with HCS in Kansas, Pennsylvania, and Texas.

26. Duran regularly worked over 40 hours per week. He generally worked at least 11 hours per day for 14 days at a time, generally followed by 7 days off.

27. Despite regularly working over 40 hours per workweek, HCS did not pay Duran time and one half his regular rate for all the hours he worked over 40. Rather, in many instances, Duran was compensated at only his regular rate of pay.

COLLECTIVE/CLASS ALLEGATIONS

28. Duran brings the First Cause of Action, a FLSA claim, on behalf of himself and all similarly situated persons who work or have worked as Non-Exempt Workers for HCS nationwide who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").

29. Duran brings the Second Cause of Action, an overtime claim under the PMWA, on behalf of himself and all similarly situated persons who have worked as Non-Exempt Workers for HCS in Pennsylvania (the "Pennsylvania Class").

30. HCS is liable under the FLSA for, *inter alia*, failing to properly compensate Duran and the FLSA Collective.

- 4 -

Case 1:20-cv-00445-RP Document 1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 5 of 8

31. Consistent with HCS's policies and patterns or practices, Duran and the FLSA Collective were not paid the proper premium overtime compensation for all hours worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek.

32. All of the work that Duran and the FLSA Collective has performed has been assigned by HCS, and/or HCS has been aware of all of the work that Duran and the FLSA Collective have performed.

33. As part of their regular business practice, HCS have intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly engaged in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA with respect to Duran and the FLSA Collective. This policy and pattern or practice includes, but is not limited to:

- a. willfully failing to pay its employees, including Duran and the FLSA Collective, premium overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek; and
- willfully failing to record all the time that their employees, including Duran and the FLSA
 Collective, have worked for the benefit of HCS.

34. HCS's unlawful conduct, as described in this Complaint, is pursuant to a corporate policy or practice of minimizing labor costs by misclassifying employees.

35. HCS is aware or should have been aware that federal law required them to pay Duran and the FLSA Collective overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek.

36. Duran and the FLSA Collective perform or performed the same primary duties.

37. There are many similarly situated current and former Non-Exempt Workers who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA who would benefit from the issuance of a courtsupervised notice of this lawsuit and the opportunity to join it. This notice should be sent to the FLSA Collective pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

38. Those similarly situated employees are known to HCS, are readily identifiable, and can be located through HCS's records.

- 5 -

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Fair Labor Standards Act – Overtime Wages (Brought on behalf of Duran and the FLSA Collective)

39. Duran realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

40. The overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, and the supporting federal regulations, apply to HCS and protect Duran and the members of FLSA Collective.

41. HCS failed to pay Duran and the FLSA Collective the premium overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA – at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rate of pay, which includes all total earnings per workweek, for all hours worked beyond 40 per workweek.

42. HCS's unlawful conduct, as described in this Complaint, has been willful and intentional. HCS was aware or should have been aware that the practices described in this Class Action Complaint were unlawful. As such, a three-year statute of limitations applies, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*

43. As a result of HCS's willful violations of the FLSA, Duran and the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied overtime compensation in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act – Overtime Wages (Brought on Behalf of Duran and the Pennsylvania Class)

44. Duran realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

45. The overtime provisions of the PMWA and its supporting regulations apply to HCS and protect Duran and the Pennsylvania Class.

Case 1:20-cv-00445-RP Document 1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 7 of 8

46. HCS failed to pay Duran and the Pennsylvania Class the premium overtime wages to which they were entitled under the PMWA – at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rate of pay – for all hours worked beyond 40 per workweek.

47. Through their knowing or intentional failure to pay Duran and the Pennsylvania Class the appropriate overtime wages for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek, HCS willfully violated the PMWA, 43 P.S. § 333.104(c).

48. Due to HCS's willful violations of the PMWA, Duran and the Pennsylvania Class are entitled to recover from HCS their unpaid overtime wages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the PMWA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

49. **WHEREFORE**, Duran, individually, and on behalf of the FLSA Collective and the Pennsylvania Class, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. That, at the earliest possible time, Duran be allowed to give notice of this collective action, or that the Court issue such notice, to all Equipment Operators and other similarly situated Non-Exempt Workers who are presently, or have at any time during the three years immediately preceding the filing of this suit, up through and including the date of this Court's issuance of court-supervised notice, worked at HCS nationwide. Such notice shall inform them that this civil action has been filed, of the nature of the action, and of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper wages;
- b. Unpaid overtime pay and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and the supporting United States Department of Labor regulations;
- c. Certification of this case as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

- 7 -

- d. Designation of Duran as representative of the Pennsylvania Class and counsel of record as Class Counsel;
- e. Unpaid overtime wages permitted by law pursuant to the PMWA;
- f. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of in this Complaint are unlawful under the FLSA, as well as under the relevant state laws.
- g. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action; and
- h. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: April 27, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David I. Moulton

By: _

Richard J. (Rex) Burch Texas Bar No. 24001807 David I. Moulton Texas Bar No. 24051093 **BRUCKNER BURCH PLLC** 8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77046 Telephone: (713) 877-8788 Telecopier: (713) 877-8065 rburch@brucknerburch.com dmoulton@brucknerburch.com

FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP

Joseph A. Fitapelli, *pro hac vice forthcoming* Armando A. Ortiz, *pro hac vice forthcoming* 28 Liberty Street, 30th Floor New York, New York 10005 Telephone: (212) 300-0375

Attorneys for Duran and the Classes

Case 1:20-cv-00445-RP Document 1-1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT 1

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT

1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Hill Country Staffing Company and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

2. By signing and returning this consent form, I hereby designate FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP ("the Firm") to represent me and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and any settlement. I understand that reasonable costs expended on my behalf will be deducted from any settlement or judgment amount on a pro rata basis among all other plaintiffs. I understand that the Firm will petition the Court for attorney's fees from any settlement or judgment in the amount of the greater of: (1) the "lodestar" amount, calculated by multiplying reasonable hourly rates by the number of hours expended on the lawsuit, or (2) 1/3 of the gross settlement or judgment amount. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by a court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

23

Erasmo Duran (Mar 6, 2020) Signature

Erasmo Duran

Full Legal Name (Print)



JS 44 (Rev. 09/19)

Case 1:20-cv-00445-RP_Document 1-2_Filed 04/27/20_Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
ERASMO DURAN individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,				HILL COUNTRY STAFFING, CO.,						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) BRUCKNER BURCH PLLC (713) 877-8788 8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77046				Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff						
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF on of This State X 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State					DEF	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)					of Business In Another State				
			Foreign Country							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS			FO	Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u> . FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES						
 CONTRACT Ito Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR' BERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability BERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detaince 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y	LABOR Other LABOR LABOR Other Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Relations Relations Relations Norther Labor Litigation Description The Security Act Immigration Act Main Act Ma	 ↓ 422 Appa ↓ 423 With 28 U ▶ 820 Copy ↓ 830 Patei ↓ 835 Patei New ↓ 835 Patei ↓ 840 Trad ▶ 861 HIA ↓ 861 Blaci ↓ 863 Blaci ↓ 864 SSIE ↓ 865 RSI ↓ 865 RSI ↓ 870 Taxe or D ↓ 871 IRS- 26 U 	eal 28 USC 158 drawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS yrights nt nt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark JSECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	 375 False C 376 Qui Tar 3729(a) 400 State Rd 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a) 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consun (15 US) 485 Telepho Protect 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 891 Agricul 895 Freedon Act 899 Admini Act/Rev 	laims Act n (31 USC)) eapportionr st und Banking ree ttion or ganizati er Credit C 1681 or ion Act at TV es/Commo- ge tatutory Act tural Acts umental Ma n of Inform tion strative Pro- riew or App Decision ationality o	nent g eed and ions 1692) ner dities/ tions utters nation ocedure peal of	
	moved from \Box 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		stated or 5 Transpened Anot	her District	□ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 29 U.S.C. 207, 2 Brief description of ca Failure to pay ove	^{use:} ertime wages			tatutes unless di	iversity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A O UNDER RULE 23, F.R			DEMAND \$			CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		n complain □No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):				DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE 04/27/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT		OF RECORD						
	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE			