#### Case 7:18-cv-05422 Document 1 Filed 06/15/18 Page 1 of 27

FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP

Brian S. Schaffer Frank J. Mazzaferro 28 Liberty Street, 30th Floor New York, NY 10005 Telephone: (212) 300-0375 WERMAN SALAS P.C.

Douglas M. Werman, *pro hac vice forthcoming* 77 W. Washington St., Suite 1402 Chicago, IL 60602

Telephone: (312) 419-1008

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PATRICK IMBARRATO and NICK PRAINO on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

BANTA MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., BANTA BWW MDT, LLC, BANTA NINE MALL, LLC, BANTA BWW ON, LLC, BANTA BWW NB, LLC, GEORGE E. BANTA, SR., and GEORGE E. BANTA, JR.,

No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

#### Defendants.

Plaintiff Patrick Imbarrato and Nick Praino, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, as class representatives, upon personal knowledge as to themselves, and upon information and belief as to other matters, allege as follows:

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This lawsuit seeks to recover minimum wages, overtime pay and other wages for Plaintiffs and their similarly situated co-workers – servers, bussers, bartenders, and other "tipped workers" – who work or have worked at Banta Management Services, Inc., Banta BWW, MDT LLC, Banta Nine Mall, LLC, Banta BWW ON, LLC, Banta BWW NB, LLC, George E. Banta, Sr., and George E. Banta, Jr. (collectively "Buffalo Wild Wings" or "Defendants").

- 2. Defendants are a hospitality group focused on real estate development and management. Based out of Poughkeepsie, New York, Defendants, through Banta Management Services, Inc., control and operate a portfolio of companies including Super 8 Hotels, Howard Johnson Hotels, Holiday Inn Express Hotels, Uno's Pizzeria & Grill restaurants, and Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants. The Banta family has operated these companies for three generations.
- 3. Buffalo Wild Wings is a casual dining restaurant and sports bar franchise in the United States, Canada, Mexico and the Philippines. Defendants own and operate 3 Buffalo Wild Wing restaurants located at: 567 Rt. 211 E., Middletown, New York 10947; 1794 South Rd., Wappingers Falls, New York 12590; and 768 State Rte. 28, Oneonta, New York 13820.
- 4. At all times relevant, Defendants have maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees, including the ability to hire, fire, and discipline them.
- 5. At all times relevant, Defendants paid Plaintiffs and others similarly situated tipped workers at the "tipped" minimum wage rate, however, Defendants failed to meet the strict statutory requirements that would permit Buffalo Wild Wings to apply the reduced minimum wage to tipped workers.
- 6. Specifically, Defendants maintained a policy and practice whereby they failed to satisfy the strict statutory requirements under the FLSA and NYLL that would allow them to pay this reduced minimum wage (take a "tip credit").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.bantamanagement.com/index.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ex. A. Deposition of George Edward Banta, Jr. ("Banta Dep.") at 7:9-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.*; Ex. B, Declaration of George E. Banta Jr., ¶ 2.; Ex. A, Banta Dep. at 6:14-7:5.

- 7. Additionally, Defendants maintained a policy and practice whereby tipped workers were required to spend a substantial amount of time, 2 hours or more than 20%, performing non-tip producing side work *related* to the employee's tipped occupation.
- 8. Defendants also maintained a policy and practice whereby tipped workers were required to perform non-tip producing side work *unrelated* to the employee's tipped occupation. As these duties were unrelated to the tipped profession, tipped workers are engaged in a dual occupation while being compensated at the tip credit rate rather than the full minimum wage.
- 9. At Buffalo Wild Wings, tipped workers were required to perform side work duties that included but were not limited to: (1) cleaning the bathroom; (2) cleaning out sinks; (3) washing dishes; (4) portioning sauces into 3 oz containers; (5) breaking down the beverage station and cleaning it thoroughly; (6) rolling large amounts of silverware; (7) cleaning and breaking down the expeditor's line; (8) sweeping; (9) cleaning the POS station; (10) cleaning the patio; (11) washing walls; (12) rotating stock / condiments; (13) setting up the expeditors' line, fillings with ice and condiments; (14) setting up the beverage station; (15) making coffee and tea for the restaurant; and (16) stocking napkins, cups, wet naps, condiments, and paper boats.
- 10. Tipped workers were required by Defendants to perform side work at the beginning, during, and at the end of their shifts.
- 11. Defendants required tipped workers to perform most side work before the restaurant opened or after the restaurant closed and customers had left.
- 12. During these periods of time, tipped workers were compensated at the tipped minimum wage rate rather than the full minimum wage rate.

- 13. The duties that Defendants required tipped workers to perform are duties that are customarily assigned to "back-of-the-house" employees in other restaurants, who typically receive at least the full minimum wage rate.
- 14. The side work described above is not specific to particular customers, tables, or sections, but is performed in mass quantities for the entire shift or for future shifts.
- 15. Defendants' timekeeping system was capable of tracking multiple job codes for different work assignments.
- 16. Throughout Plaintiffs' employment, Defendants maintained a policy and practice whereby tipped workers were not paid spread-of-hours pay when the length of the interval between the beginning and end of their workday including working time plus time off for meals plus intervals off duty was greater than 10 hours.
- 17. Defendants also failed to supply tipped workers with call-in pay when they were required to leave prior to working for 3 hours.
- 18. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and similarly situated current and former tipped workers who elect to opt in to this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), and specifically, the collective action provision of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to remedy violations of the wage-and-hour provisions of the FLSA by Defendants that have deprived Plaintiffs and others similarly situated of their lawfully earned wages.
- 19. Plaintiffs also bring this action on behalf of themselves and similarly situated current and former tipped workers in New York pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 ("NY Rule 23") to remedy violations of the New York Labor Law ("NYLL") Article 6, §§ 190 et

seq., and Article 19, §§ 650 et seq., and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

#### **THE PARTIES**

#### **Plaintiffs**

#### **Patrick Imbarrato**

- 20. Patrick Imbarrato ("Imbarrato") is an adult individual who is a resident of New York.
- 21. Imbarrato was employed by Defendants as a server at the Buffalo Wild Wings located at 567 Route 211 East, Middletown, New York 10941 from in or around August 2013 through the February 8, 2018.
- 22. Defendants owned and operated the Buffalo Wild Wings restaurant at which Imbarrato worked.
  - 23. Imbarrato is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA and the NYLL.
- 24. A written consent form for Imbarrato is being filed with this Class Action Complaint.

#### **Nick Praino**

- 25. Nick Praino ("Praino") is an adult individual who is a resident of Florida.
- 26. During his employment with Buffalo Wild Wings, Priano's last name was Cahill.
- 27. Praino was employed by Defendants as a server and host at the Buffalo Wild Wings located at 567 Route 211 East, Middletown, New York 10941 from in or around May 2013 through November 2013 and from in or around December 2014 through February 2015.
  - 28. Praino's last name while employed with Defendants was Cahill.

- 29. Defendants owned and operated the Buffalo Wild Wings restaurant at which Praino worked.
  - 30. Praino is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA and the NYLL.
  - 31. A written consent form for Praino is being filed with this Class Action Complaint.

#### **Defendants**

- 32. Defendants Banta Management Services, Inc., Banta BWW MDT, LLC, Banta Nine Mall, LLC, Banta BWW ON, LLC, Banta BWW NB, LLC, George E. Banta, Sr., and George E. Banta, Jr., jointly employed Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees at all times relevant.
- 33. Each Defendant has had substantial control over Plaintiffs' and similarly situated employees' working conditions, and over the unlawful policies and practices alleged herein.
- 34. Defendants are part of a single integrated enterprise that has jointly employed Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees at all times relevant.
- 35. During all relevant times, Defendants have been Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of the FLSA and the NYLL.

#### **Banta Management Services, Inc.**

- 36. Together with the other Defendants, Banta Management Services, Inc. ("Banta Management") owned and/or operated Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants during the relevant period.
- 37. Banta Management's principal executive office is located at 842 Main Street, Poughkeepsie, New York 12603.
  - 38. Banta Management is a domestic corporation doing business in New York State.
  - 39. At all relevant times, Banta Management maintained control, oversight, and

direction over Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees, including, but not limited to, hiring, firing, disciplining, timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices.

- 40. Banta Management operates the Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants, and other businesses owned by Defendants.<sup>5</sup> Banta Management provides oversight and operational guidance to the Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants.<sup>6</sup>
- 41. Banta Management allowed employees to freely transfer between, or be shared by, the various Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants it operates without retraining such employees.
- Banta Management applied the same employment policies, practices, and 42. procedures to all tipped workers at its Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to payment of minimum wages, overtime pay, and other wages.
- 43. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times Banta Management had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.
- 44. Pursuant to NY BCL §630, Plaintiffs hereby demand Banta Management permit an examination of their records of shareholders under NY BCL §624 so that liability may be imposed on their respective top ten shareholders for unpaid wages.

#### Banta BWW MDT, LLC

- 45. Together with the other Defendants, Banta BWW MDT, LLC ("BWW MDT") owned and/or operated Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants during the relevant period.
- 46. BWW MDT's principal executive office is located at 842 Main Street, Poughkeepsie, New York 12603.
  - 47. BWW MDT is a domestic corporation doing business in New York State.

- 7 -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ex. A, Banta Dep., at 6:14-7:11. <sup>6</sup> *Id*.

- 48. BWW MDT is a subsidiary of Banta Management.
- 49. At all relevant times, BWW MDT maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees, including, but not limited to, hiring, firing, disciplining, timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices.
- 50. BWW MDT allowed employees to freely transfer between, or be shared by, the various Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants it operates without retraining such employees.
- 51. BWW MDT applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all tipped workers at its Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to payment of minimum wages, overtime pay, and other wages.
- 52. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times BWW MDT had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.
- 53. Pursuant to NY LLCL § 609, Plaintiffs intend to hold BWW MDT's top 10 members with the largest percentage of ownership jointly and severally liable for these unpaid wages.

#### Banta Nine Mall, LLC

- 54. Together with the other Defendants, Banta Nine Mall, LLC ("Nine Mall") owned and/or operated Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants during the relevant period.
- 55. Nine Mall's principal executive office is located at 842 Main Street, Poughkeepsie, New York 12603.
  - 56. Nine Mall is a domestic corporation doing business in New York State.
  - 57. Nine Mall is a subsidiary of Banta Management.
- 58. At all relevant times, Nine Mall maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees, including, but not limited to, hiring, firing, disciplining, timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices.

- 59. Nine Mall allowed employees to freely transfer between, or be shared by, the various Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants it operates without retraining such employees.
- 60. Nine Mall applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all tipped workers at its Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to payment of minimum wages, overtime pay, and other wages.
- 61. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times Nine Mall had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.
- 62. Pursuant to NY LLCL § 609, Plaintiffs intend to hold Nine Mall's top 10 members with the largest percentage of ownership jointly and severally liable for these unpaid wages.

#### Banta BWW ON, LLC

- 63. Together with the other Defendants, Banta BWW ON, LLC ("BWW ON") owned and/or operated Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants during the relevant period.
- 64. BWW ON's principal executive office is located at 842 Main Street, Poughkeepsie, New York 12603.
  - 65. BWW ON is a domestic corporation doing business in New York State.
  - 66. BWW ON is a subsidiary of Banta Management.
- 67. At all relevant times, BWW ON maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees, including, but not limited to, hiring, firing, disciplining, timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices.
- 68. BWW ON allowed employees to freely transfer between, or be shared by, the various Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants it operates without retraining such employees.
- 69. BWW ON applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all tipped workers at its Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants, including policies, practices, and

procedures with respect to payment of minimum wages, overtime pay, and other wages.

- 70. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times BWW ON had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.
- 71. Pursuant to NY LLCL § 609, Plaintiffs intend to hold BWW ON's top 10 members with the largest percentage of ownership jointly and severally liable for these unpaid wages.

#### Banta BWW NB, LLC

- 72. Together with the other Defendants, Banta BWW NB, LLC ("BWW NB") owned and/or operated Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants during the relevant period.
- 73. BWW NB's principal executive office is located at 842 Main Street, Poughkeepsie, New York 12603.
  - 74. BWW NB is a domestic corporation doing business in New York State.
  - 75. BWW NB is a subsidiary of Banta Management.
- 76. At all relevant times, BWW NB maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees, including, but not limited to, hiring, firing, disciplining, timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices.
- 77. BWW NB allowed employees to freely transfer between, or be shared by, the various Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants it operates without retraining such employees.
- 78. BWW NB applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all tipped workers at its Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to payment of minimum wages, overtime pay, and other wages.
- 79. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times BWW NB had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.
  - 80. Pursuant to NY LLCL § 609, Plaintiffs intend to hold BWW NB's top 10

members with the largest percentage of ownership jointly and severally liable for these unpaid wages.

#### **Individual Defendants**

- 81. George E. Banta, Sr., and George E. Banta, Jr., ("Individual Defendants"), maintained control over, oversaw, and directed the operation of Buffalo Wild Wings, including its employment practices, during the relevant period.
- 82. Upon information and belief, the Individual Defendants manage and/or operate Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 83. During all times relevant, the Individual Defendants were "employers" under the FLSA and NYLL, and employed or jointly employed Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees.
- 84. Upon information and belief, throughout the relevant period, the Individual Defendants have had the power to control the operations and compensation practices at Buffalo Wild Wings.

#### George E. Banta, Sr.

- 85. Upon information and belief, George E. Banta, Sr. is a resident of the State of New York.
- 86. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Sr. has been the founder and owner of Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 87. George E. Banta, Sr. is identified as a principal on the liquor license for BWW MDT, Nine Mall, and BWW ON.
- 88. George E. Banta, Sr. is identified as the CEO of Banta Management on the Corporate Filing with the NYS Department of State.
  - 89. George E. Banta, Sr. has an office at 842 Main Street, Poughkeepsie, New York

12603.

- 90. George E. Banta, Sr. has an ownership interest in Banta Management.<sup>7</sup>
- 91. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Sr. has had power over personnel decisions at Buffalo Wild Wings, including the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and otherwise control the terms and conditions of their employment.<sup>8</sup>
- 92. At all relevant time, George E. Banta, Sr. has had power over payroll decisions at Buffalo Wild Wings, including the power to retain time and/or wage records.
- 93. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Sr. is actively involved in managing the day to day operations of Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 94. At all times relevant, George E. Banta, Sr. has also had the power to stop any illegal pay practices that harmed Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees.
- 95. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Sr. has had the power to transfer the assets and/or liabilities of Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 96. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Sr. has had the power to enter into contracts on behalf of Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 97. At all relevant time, George E. Banta, Sr. has had the power to close, shut down, and/or sell Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants.
- 98. George E. Banta, Sr. is a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL, and at all times relevant, employed and/or jointly employed Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees.

#### George E. Banta, Jr.

99. Upon information and belief, Defendant George E. Banta, Jr. is a resident of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ex. A, Banta Dep., at 9:22-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id.*, at 12:14-20.

State of New York.

- 100. George E. Banta, Jr. has identified himself as the Vice President of Banta Management.<sup>9</sup>
- 101. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Jr. has had power over personnel decisions at Buffalo Wild Wings, including the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and otherwise control the terms and conditions of their employment. <sup>10</sup>
- 102. At all relevant time, George E. Banta, Jr. has had power over payroll decisions at Buffalo Wild Wings, including the power to retain time and/or wage records.
- 103. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Jr. is actively involved in managing the day to day operations of Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 104. George E. Banta, Jr. has testified that he "own[s] and operate[s] restaurants and hotels." 11
- 105. At all times relevant, George E. Banta, Jr. has also had the power to stop any illegal pay practices that harmed Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees.
- 106. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Jr. has had the power to transfer the assets and/or liabilities of Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 107. At all relevant times, George E. Banta, Jr. has had the power to enter into contracts on behalf of Buffalo Wild Wings.
- 108. At all relevant time, George E. Banta, Jr. has had the power to close, shut down, and/or sell Buffalo Wild Wings dealerships.
- 109. George E. Banta, Jr. is a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL, and at all times relevant, employed and/or jointly employed Plaintiffs and similarly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.* at 6:13-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Ex. B, Declaration of George E. Banta Jr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Ex. A, Banta Dep., at 6:13-20.

situated employees.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 110. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1337, and jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 111. This Court also has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 112. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendants' nucleus of operations are in the district.

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 113. Plaintiffs bring the First and Second Causes of Action, FLSA claims, on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated current and former tipped workers employed at Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants owned, operated, and/or controlled by Defendants who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective Members") between October 3, 2013 and the present.<sup>12</sup>
- 114. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for, *inter alia*, failing to properly compensate Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective.
- 115. Consistent with Defendants' policy and pattern or practice, Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective were not paid the full minimum wage rate for all hours worked up to 40 per workweek and premium overtime compensation for all hours worked beyond 40 per workweek.
- 116. All of the work that Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective have performed has been assigned by Defendants, and/or Defendants have been aware of all of the work that Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective have performed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The period covered by the FLSA Collective is extended due to a pre-litigation tolling agreement entered into by the parties on July 28, 2016 and terminated April 9, 2018. Ex. C, Tolling Agreement.

117. As part of their regular business practice, Defendants have intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly engaged in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA with respect to Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective.

#### NEW YORK CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

118. Plaintiffs bring the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Causes of Action, NYLL claims, pursuant to Rule 23, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons consisting of:

All persons who work or have worked as tipped workers and similar employees at the Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants in New York operated by Defendants between October 3, 2010<sup>13</sup> and the date of final judgment in this matter (the "NY Rule 23 Class").

- 119. The Rule 23 Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the Court.
  - 120. There are more than fifty Rule 23 Class Members.
- 121. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those claims that could be alleged by any Rule 23 Class Member, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each Rule 23 Class Member in separate actions.
- 122. Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class Members have all been injured in that they have been uncompensated or under-compensated due to Defendants' common policies, practices, and patterns of conduct. Defendants' corporate-wide policies and practices affected all Rule 23 Class Members similarly, and Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each of the Rule 23 Class Members.
- 123. Plaintiffs are able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Rule 23 Class Members and have no interests antagonistic to the Rule 23 Class Members.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> *Id*.

- 124. Plaintiffs are represented by attorneys who are experienced and competent in both class action litigation and employment litigation and have previously represented many plaintiffs and classes in wage and hour cases.
- 125. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender.
- 126. Common questions of law and fact exist as to the Rule 23 Class that predominate over any questions only affecting Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class Members individually and include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class full minimum wages for all of the hours they worked up to 40 hours per workweek;
  - (b) whether Defendants correctly compensated Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class at time and one half the full minimum wage for hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek;
  - (c) whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class callin pay as required by the NYLL;
  - (d) whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class spread-of-hours as required by the NYLL;
  - (e) whether Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class with proper annual wage notices, as required by the NYLL; and
  - (f) whether Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class with accurate statements with every payment of wages, as required by the NYLL.

#### **PLAINTIFFS' FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

127. Consistent with their policies and patterns or practices as described herein,
Defendants harmed Plaintiffs, individually, as follows:

#### Patrick Imbarrato

- 128. During his employment, Imbarrato generally works the following scheduled hours unless he misses time for vacation, sick days, or holidays:
  - Approximately 5 to 6 shifts per week, lasting from approximately 5 p.m. or 6 p.m. until 12 a.m. through 3 a.m. On occasion, Imbarrato worked up to approximately 42.25 hours in a workweek. For example, on May 6, 2016, Plaintiff's paystub reflected 2.52 hours of overtime.
- 129. Throughout his employment, Defendants applied a tip credit towards the minimum wage rate paid to Imbarrato for work performed as a server. Defendants failed to notify Imbarrato of the tip credit provisions of the FLSA or NYLL.
- 130. Defendants have suffered or permitted Imbarrato to perform non-tip producing side work for more than 20% and/or 2 hours on a consistent basis, including pre-shift side work, running side work, and closing side work. These duties included, but are not limited to: (1) cleaning out sinks; (2) washing dishes; (3) portioning sauces into 3 oz containers; (4) breaking down the beverage station and cleaning it thoroughly; (5) rolling large amounts of silverware; (6) cleaning and breaking down the expeditor's line; (7) sweeping; (8) cleaning the POS station; (9) washing walls; (10) rotating stock / condiments; and (11) stocking napkins, cups, wet naps, condiments, and paper boats.
- 131. When Imbarrato worked over 40 hours in a workweek, Defendants failed to calculate his overtime rate at 1.5 times the full minimum wage rate.

- 132. Defendants did not pay Imbarrato spread-of-hours pay for all of the times that he worked 10 hours or more in a workday.
- 133. Defendants did not provide Imbarrato with call-in pay as required by the NYLL when he worked less than 3 hours in a shift.
- 134. Defendants failed to furnish Imbarrato with proper annual wage notices, as required by the NYLL.
- 135. Defendants failed to furnish Imbarrato with proper wage statements, listing the rates paid, gross wages, and the claimed tip allowance, as required by the NYLL.

#### **Nick Parino**

- 136. Throughout his employment, Defendants applied a tip credit towards the minimum wage rate paid to Parino for work performed as a server and host. Defendants failed to notify Parino of the tip credit provisions of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 137. Defendants have suffered or permitted Parino to perform non-tip producing side work for more than 20% and/or 2 hours on a consistent basis, including pre-shift side work, running side work, and closing side work. These duties included, but are not limited to: (1) cleaning out sinks; (2) washing dishes; (3) portioning sauces into 3 oz containers; (4) breaking down the beverage station and cleaning it thoroughly; (5) rolling large amounts of silverware; (6) cleaning and breaking down the expeditor's line; (7) sweeping; (8) cleaning the POS station; (9) washing walls; (10) rotating stock / condiments; and (11) stocking napkins, cups, wet naps, condiments, and paper boats.
- 138. Defendants did not pay Parino spread-of-hours pay for all of the times that he worked 10 hours or more in a workday.

- 139. Defendants did not provide Parino with call-in pay as required by the NYLL when he worked less than 3 hours in a shift.
- 140. Defendants failed to furnish Parino with proper annual wage notices, as required by the NYLL.
- 141. Defendants failed to furnish Parino with proper wage statements, listing the rates paid, gross wages, and the claimed tip allowance, as required by the NYLL.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Fair Labor Standards Act – Minimum Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective)

- 142. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 143. At all times relevant, Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective were employed by an entity engaged in commerce and/or the production or sale of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, and/or they were engaged in commerce and/or the production or sale of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*.
- 144. Defendants were not eligible to avail themselves of the federal tipped minimum wage rate under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, because Defendants failed to inform Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective Members of the provisions of subsection 203(m) of the FLSA.
- 145. Defendants have not been eligible to avail themselves of the federal tipped minimum wage rate under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, because Defendants required Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective to perform duties that are unrelated to their tip-producing work. During these periods, Defendants have compensated Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective at the tipped minimum wage rate rather than the full hourly minimum wage rate as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*

- 146. Defendants have not been eligible to avail themselves of the federal tipped minimum wage rate under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, because Defendants required Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective to perform a substantial amount of non-tip producing "side work" in excess of 20% of their time at work. During these periods, Defendants have compensated Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective at the tipped minimum wage rather than the full hourly minimum wage rate as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*
- 147. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied minimum wages in accordance with the FLSA in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Fair Labor Standards Act – Overtime Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff Imbarrato and the FLSA Collective)

- 148. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 149. The overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, and the supporting federal regulations, apply to Defendants and protect Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.
- 150. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the premium overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA at a rate of 1.5 times the full minimum wage rate for all hours worked beyond 40 per workweek.
- 151. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied overtime compensation in amounts to be

determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq*.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION New York Labor Law – Minimum Wage (Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and the NY Rule 23 Class)

- 152. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 153. At all times relevant, Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class have been employees of Defendants, and Defendants have been employers of Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class within the meaning of the NYLL §§ 650 *et seq.*, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 154. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class the minimum hourly wages to which they are entitled under the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 155. Pursuant to the NYLL, Article 19, §§ 650 *et seq.*, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, Defendants have been required to pay Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Class the full minimum wage at a rate of (a) \$7.25 per hour for all hours worked from July 28, 2010 through December 30, 2013; (b) \$8.00 per hour for all hours worked from December 31, 2013 through December 30, 2014; (c) \$8.75 per hour for all hours worked from December 31, 2014 through December 30, 2015; and (d) \$9.00 per hour for all hours worked from December 31, 2015 through December 30, 2016; (e) \$9.70 per hour for all hours worked from December 31, 2016 through December 30, 2017; and (f) \$10.40 per hour for all hours worked from December 31, 2017 through the present.
- 156. Prior to January 1, 2011, Defendants failed to furnish with every payment of wages to Plaintiffs and the members of the NY Rule 23 Class a statement listing hours worked,

rates paid, gross wages, and tip allowance claimed as part of their minimum hourly wage rate, as required by the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations. As a result, Plaintiffs and the members of the NY Rule 23 Class were entitled to the full minimum wage rate rather than the reduced tipped minimum wage rate during this time period.

- 157. Since January 1, 2011, Defendants have failed to notify Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class of the tip credit in writing as required by the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 158. Defendants also required Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class to perform a substantial amount of non-tipped "side work" in excess of 2 hours or more, or 20% of their work time. During these periods, Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class were engaged in a non-tipped occupation.
- 159. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid minimum wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION New York Labor Law – Unpaid Overtime (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff Imbarrato and the NY Rule 23 Class)

- 160. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 161. The overtime wage provisions of Article 19 of the NYLL and its supporting regulations apply to Defendants, and protect Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class.
- 162. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class the premium overtime wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations at a rate of 1.5 times the full minimum wage rate for all

hours worked beyond 40 per workweek.

163. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law– Call-in Pay Violation (Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and the members of the NY Rule 23 Class)

- 164. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 165. During regularly scheduled shifts, Plaintiffs and the members of the Rule 23 Class who reported for duty, whether or not assigned to actual work, were permitted to leave by request or permission of Defendants, and were not compensated for: (1) at least three hours for one shift or the number of hours in the regularly scheduled shift, whichever is less; (2) at least six hours for two shifts totaling six hours or less, or the number of hours in the regularly scheduled shift, whichever is less; and (3) at least eight hours for three shifts totaling eight hours or less or the number of hours in the regularly scheduled shift, whichever is less, as required by 12 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 137 and Part 146.
- 166. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs and the members of the Rule 23 Class are entitled to recover from Defendants up to three hours of wages calculated at their regular or overtime rate of pay, whichever is applicable, as provided for by 12 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 137 and Part 146, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Spread-of-Hours Pay (Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and the NY Rule 23 Class)

- 167. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 168. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiffs and the members of the NY Rule 23 Class additional compensation of one hour's pay at the basic minimum hourly wage rate for each day that the length of the interval between the beginning and end of their workday including working time plus time off for meals plus intervals off duty was greater than 10 hours.
- 169. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs and the members of the Rule 23 Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid spread-of-hours wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Proper Annual Wage Notices (Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class)

- 170. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 171. Defendants have failed to furnish Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class with proper annual wage notices as required by NYLL, Article 6, § 195(1), in English or in the language identified by each employee as their primary language, at the time of hiring, and on or before February first of each subsequent year of the employee's employment with the employer, a notice containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL, Article 6, § 191; the name of the employer; any "doing business as"

names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer; plus such other information as the commissioner deems material and necessary.

172. Due to Defendants' violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(1), Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class are entitled to statutory penalties of fifty dollars each workday that Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class with annual wage notices, or a total of five thousand dollars each, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, as provided for by NYLL, Article 6, § 198(1-b).

#### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements (Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class)

- 173. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 174. Defendants failed to supply Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class with an accurate statement of wages with every payment of wages as required by NYLL, Article 6, § 195(3), listing: dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; the number of hours worked, including overtime hours worked if applicable; deductions; and net wages.
- 175. Due to Defendants' violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(3), Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class are entitled to statutory penalties of two hundred fifty dollars for each workday that Defendants failed to provide them with accurate wage statements, or a total of five thousand dollars each, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, as provided for by NYLL, Article 6, § 198

(1-d).

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs, individually, and on behalf of all other similarly situated persons, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- A. That, at the earliest possible time, Plaintiffs be allowed to give notice of this collective action, or that the Court issue such notice, to all tipped workers who are presently, or have at any time during the three years from October 3, 2010, up through and including the date of this Court's issuance of court-supervised notice, worked at the Buffalo Wild Wings restaurants in New York. Such notice shall inform them that this civil action has been filed, of the nature of the action, and of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper wages;
- B. Unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime compensation and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and the supporting United States Department of Labor Regulations;
- C. Certification of this case as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- D. Designation of Plaintiffs as representatives of the Rule 23 Class and counsel of record as Class Counsel;
- E. Unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime compensation, spread-of-hours pay, call-in pay and liquidated damages permitted by law pursuant to the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations;
- F. Statutory penalties of fifty dollars for each workday that Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class with proper annual wage notices, or a total of five thousand dollars each, as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 § 198;
  - G. Statutory penalties of two hundred fifty dollars for each workday that Defendants

failed to provide Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class with accurate wage statements, or a total of five thousand dollars each, as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 § 198;

- H. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- I. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action; and
- J. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York June 15, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian S. Schaffer

Brian S. Schaffer

#### FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP

Brian S. Schaffer Frank J. Mazzaferro 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005 Telephone: (212) 300-0375

#### WERMAN SALAS P.C.

Douglas M. Werman, *pro hac vice forthcoming* 77 W. Washington, Suite 1402 Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 419-1008

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Classes

#### FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT

- 1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Buffalo Wild Wings and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. By signing and returning this consent form, I hereby designate FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP and WERMAN SALAS P.C. ("the Firm") to represent me and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and any settlement. I understand that reasonable costs expended on my behalf will be deducted from any settlement or judgment amount on a pro rata basis among all other plaintiffs. I understand that the Firm will petition the Court for attorney's fees from any settlement or judgment in the amount of the greater of: (1) the "lodestar" amount, calculated by multiplying reasonable hourly rates by the number of hours expended on the lawsuit, or (2) 1/3 of the gross settlement or judgment amount. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by a court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

Nicholas J Cahill Nicholas J Canill Un 3, 2016;	
Signature	
Nicholas J Cahill (Praino)	
Full Legal Name (Print)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



#### FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT

- 1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Buffalo Wild Wings and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. By signing and returning this consent form, I hereby designate FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP & WERMAN SALAS P.C. ("the Firm") to represent me and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and any settlement. I understand that reasonable costs expended on my behalf will be deducted from any settlement or judgment amount on a pro rata basis among all other plaintiffs. I understand that the Firm will petition the Court for attorney's fees from any settlement or judgment in the amount of the greater of: (1) the "lodestar" amount, calculated by multiplying reasonable hourly rates by the number of hours expended on the lawsuit, or (2) 1/3 of the gross settlement or judgment amount. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by a court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

Patrick Imbarrato (May 24, 2016)	
Signature	
Patrick Imbarrato	
Full Legal Name (Print)	



### **EXHIBIT A**

- Commence of the commence of

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_X

THOMAS P. O'CONNOR,

Plaintiff,

-against-

Civ. 14-06237 (VB)

BEEKMAN ARMS-DELAMATER INN, INC., BANTA MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., CYNTHIA PHILLIPS, Individually, GEORGE E. BANTA, JR., Individually, and GEORGE E. BANTA, SR., Individually,

Defendants.

42 Catharine Street Poughkeepsie, New York April 20, 2015 9:30 a.m.

EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL OF GEORGE EDWARD BANTA, JR., a Defendant in the above-captioned matter, held pursuant to Court Order at the above time and place, before a Notary Public of the State of New York.

Nina Purcell, RPR Shorthand Reporter

		2
1		
2		
3		
4	APPEARANCES:	
5		
6	LAW OFFICES OF SPITA NICAR	
7	LAW OFFICES OF DRITA NICAJ Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	42 Catharine Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601	
9	BY: DRITA NICAJ, ESQ.	
10		
11	THOMAS, DROHAN, WAXMAN, PETIGROW & MAYLE, LLP	
12	Attorneys for Defendants 2517 Route 52	
13	Hopewell Junction, New York 12533 BY: LAURA WONG-PAN, ESQ.	
14	LWONG-PAN@TDWPM.COM	
15		
16	ALSO PRESENT: Thomas P. O'Connor	
17	ALSO I RESERVE. THOMAS F. O COMMO	
18	000	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto that objections to any question. except as to form, are reserved for the trial of this action. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that this deposition may be sworn to by any Notary Public other the Notary Public before whom this examination was begun. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the filing and certification of the original of this deposition are waived. 

25

Q.

If at any time you don't understand a

1 George Edward Banta, Jr.

question, haven't heard the question, want the question read back, let me know. Okay?

A. Sure.

- Q. During the course of the deposition, you may know what I'm about to ask. What I would ask you to do, however, is let me finish first asking the question and then you can respond. Is that fair?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Similarly, if I interrupt you during the course of your answering, let me know. I may believe that you're done with the response and you haven't fully responded. Okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. If during the course of the deposition you want to take a break, you can do so. But what I would ask you to do first is answer any pending questions fully first and then you can take your break. Okay?
  - A. Sure.
- Q. During the course of your deposition, you may realize that you need to either add, change or otherwise supplement an earlier response. Let me know. I'll be happy to give

#### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 Just to oversee and see about the Α. 3 condition of the facilities. 4 What was Ms. Phillips' position Q. 5 immediately prior to her becoming general 6 manager of the Beekman Arms? 7 She was reservations and group sales, 8 I guess. 9 And prior to that, had she had any 0. 10 management experience in the hotel industry? 11 Α. I don't know offhand. 12 Q. Or service industry, restaurant? 13 I don't recall. Α. 14 And whose decision, to your Q. 15 knowledge, was it to promote her to general 16 manager? 17 Α. It was our collective. When you say "our collective," who? 18 Q. 19 My father, my mother and myself, Rich Α. 20 Fisher. 21 And when she became general manager, Q. 22 did she receive any training? 23 Α. I don't know. We had other managers, 24 regional managers, stop in on occasion. 25 Do you know for what purpose, if they

Q.

	17
1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Banta Management interacted with Paychecks about
3	any handbook?
4	A. Our office manager at that point,
5	Cathy Lo, would have been the one to direct
6	Paychecks to consult with them on the handbook
7	and then tailor it towards the Beekman Arms.
8	Q. As you sit here today, do you recall
9	her doing so?
10	A. No.
11	Q. You mentioned Cathy Lo. When did she
12	become employed at Banta Management?
13	A. 1980 or something like that.
14	Q. When did she leave?
15	A. 2008, 2009.
16	Q. When Cynthia Phillips became general
17	manager, Ms. Lo had already been gone; is that
18	correct?
19	A. I believe so, yes.
20	Q. Do you know who interacted with
21	Ms. Phillips, if anyone, concerning the
22	handbook?
23	A. Rebecca Berkowitz is now our office
24	manager.

Q. Do you know if she, in fact,

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	communicated with Ms. Phillips about the
3	handbook?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. How do you know that?
6	A. We had discussions with the previous
7	general manager, Maria Schubert, about
8	handbooks. And then when she left, we had
9	further discussions with Cynthia.
10	Q. Who is the "we" you're referring to?
11	A. Myself, my father. Rebecca, I
12	believe, was in on the conversations.
13	Q. So, all three of you were party to
14	conversations you had with Cynthia Phillips.
15	Was this in person?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Where was this?
18	A. In Rhinebeck.
19	Q. When?
20	A. I don't know offhand.
21	Q. As you sit here today, was this
22	before or after November of 2012?
23	A. I would have to think as soon as she
24	was hired. I think it would be one of the first
25	conversations we had.

# George Edward Banta, Jr.

Plaintiff's Exhibit 12?

A. No.

- Q. At the time that Cynthia Phillips became general manager, did you have an understanding -- were you aware that she had been in a personal intimate relationship with Tom O'Connor?
  - A. You hear rumors.
  - Q. How did you hear about that?
- A. I don't know. Employees will say stuff, a lot of which doesn't -- doesn't impact me in terms of...
  - Q. What employees told you stuff?
- A. I don't know. We hear stuff from employees all the time, whether it was a desk clerk or a server or the manager in the restaurant.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you recall which employees, employee or employees you heard this through?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever discuss this with your mother, Phebe?
  - A. I don't know.

		23
1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q.	Do you know whether Mrs. Banta, your
3	mother, ev	er asked Cynthia directly whether she
4	had a pers	onal relationship personal intimate
5	relationsh	ip with Tom O'Connor?
6	Α.	I have no idea.
7		MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
8	Q.	Did you ever communicate this with
9	Keith?	
10	Α.	Not that I recall.
11	Q.	Do you know who Keith is?
12	Α.	Keith Van Tassell?
13	Q.	Yes.
14	Α.	He's our regional operator of our
15	Buffalo Wi	ld Wings.
16	Q.	Did you ever communicate with him
17	about that	?
18	Α.	Not that I recall.
19	Q.	Previous to him this is the
20	Buffalo Wi	ld Wings on Route 9; correct?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	He was the general manager at the
23	restaurant	at Beekman Arms; is that correct?
24	Α.	For a time being, yes.

Q. Did you ever have any communications

### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 with him while he was general manager at the 3 Beekman Arms about whether -- the fact that Tom 4 O'Connor and Cynthia Phillips had an intimate 5 personal relationship? 6 Α. I don't know. I don't recall. 7 Was Cynthia Phillips interviewed for Q. 8 the position of general manager? 9 I don't know. Α. (Interruption at the door followed by 10 11 an off-the-record discussion) 12 THE WITNESS: What was the 13 question? 14 MS. NICAJ: I don't even remember, 15 so I'll have the court reporter read it 16 back, okay. 17 (Record read) 18 Was any other person interviewed for Q. 19 that position? 20 I don't believe so. Α. 21 So, Cynthia Phillips, in addition to Q. 22 her being a general manager for Beekman Arms-23 Delamater, she was also -- she performed 24 functions for the Village Inn? 25 Α. Yes.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.	
2	Q. What is the name of that entity?	
3	A. Village Inn Properties, I think.	
4	Q. And the rental properties, you	
5	believe, are owned also by the Village Inn?	
6	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection to form.	
7	I mean objection. It misstates the	
8	record.	
9	A. I don't know which entity owns them,	
LO	whether it's Beekman Arms or it's Village Inn.	
L1	Q. Who paid Ms. Phillips at the time?	
L2	A. I believe she was paid by Beekman	
L3	Arms.	
L4	Q. How many employees were at the	
L5	Village Inn in 2012?	
L6	A. Five, seven, I would say.	
L7	Q. Prior to March of 2012, did you ever	
L8	communicate with Tom O'Connor for any reason?	
L9	A. Sure. I would see Tom at the	
20	property.	
21	Q. So, the answer is yes?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. Did you ever have any communications	
24	concerning any performance issues you had with	
>5	him?	

	28
1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	A. Not that I recall.
3	Q. Did he ever express any concerns to
4	you of any issues that arose at the Beekman
5	Arms?
6	A. Not that I recall offhand.
7	Q. In March of 2012, did there come a
8	time that you learned there was an issue
9	concerning Tom O'Connor and someone by the name
10	of Jose Reid?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. How did you come to learn that?
13	A. I don't recall.
14	Q. Do you know whether Mr. O'Connor
15	called you or your father, someone else at Banta
16	Management about that?
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. Did you communicate with Cynthia
19	Phillips about that?
20	A. I'm sure we discussed it. Yes.
21	Q. As you sit here today, do you recall
22	doing so?
23	A. No, not offhand.
24	Q. Do you recall meeting with Tom
25	O'Connor on or around March 19, 2012 concerning

1	George	Edward Banta, Jr.
2	A. Not offh	and.
3	Q. Do you r	ecall learning through any
4	source that Tom 0'(	Connor went to the police
5	concerning Jose Re-	id?
6	A. I don't	not that I recall.
7	Q. Do you r	ecall learning that from him?
8	A. No.	
9	Q. Do you r	ecall learning that from
10	Cynthia Phillips?	
L1	A. That he'	d gone to the police?
12	Q. Yes.	
L3	A. No, I do	on't recall.
L4	(Document handed	d to the court reporter)
<b>L</b> 5	(Whereup	on, Plaintiff's Exhibit 14
16	2-page 7/28/14	Email marked for
L <b>7</b>	identification	.)
18	MS. WONG	-PAN: Can he have a chance
19	to read this.	
20	MS. NICA	J: Of course. Please
21	review that an	d when you're done, let me
22	know.	
23	(Witness	peruses exhibit)
24	A. Okay.	
25	Q. Does tha	at refresh your memory at all?

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	A. I don't know if I've seen that email
3	before.
4	Q. I understand. But that's not my
5	question.
6	You indicated you didn't recall
7	whether you met with Tom O'Connor concerning an
8	issue with Jose.
9	Now, looking at Plaintiff's
10	Exhibit 14, I'm asking you whether that
11	refreshes your memory.
12	A. No.
13	Q. Do you know you indicated there
14	was a Rebecca at Banta Management; is that
15	right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And her last name is?
18	A. Berkowitz.
19	Q. And did you ever speak to Rebecca
20	from Banta Management concerning Tom O'Connor
21	leaving any voice mails?
22	A. Not that I recall.
23	Q. Do you recall ever learning that Jose
24	Reid had threatened physical violence against
25	Mr. O'Connor?

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection to form.
3	You can answer.
4	A. I heard they didn't get along.
5	Q. I'm not asking that. Do you ever
6	recall learning through any source that Jose
7	Reid had threatened physical violence?
8	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
9	A. Not that I recall.
10	Q. Did Cynthia Phillips ever forward you
11	any emails that Tom O'Connor sent to her
12	concerning Jose Reid?
13	A. Not that I recall.
14	Q. As you sit here today, you have no
15	recollection of meeting with Tom O'Connor
16	concerning Jose Reid?
17	A. We my life is one big meeting
18	after the next. I don't recall.
19	Q. I'm not asking that. I'm asking, do
20	you recall?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Do you recall ever meeting with Tom
23	O'Connor concerning Cynthia Phillips in March of
24	2012?
25	Λ Not that I recall

### George Edward Banta, Jr.

- Q. Any documents you can use to refresh your memory? Do you have any documents out there that would refresh your memory?
  - A. No.

Q. I'm going to show you what has been previously marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 for identification. Please take a look. I'm going to direct your attention to the last page specifically. It's an email that's dated Monday, March 12, 2012, 12:25 a.m.

Do you see that?

- A. Yup.
- Q. Once you're done reading it, let me know.
  - A. Okav.
- Q. Did you ever learn through any source that Tom O'Connor had expressed his concern that Cynthia Phillips was engaging in retaliation for exercising his right to call the police after being threatened, and complained to management after being bullied and personally being insulted in front of the general manager? The only reason that he thought this was because of bias as a result of their previously dating?

	1	
1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2		MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
3	Α.	I don't recall that.
4	Q.	I'll rephrase it.
5		I'm going to direct your attention to
6	the last p	age of that document. Can you turn to
7	it, please	
8	Α.	Sure.
9	Q.	Towards the middle of the email,
10	"what just	happened here," read that to
11	yourself.	
12	Α.	Yup.
13	Q.	Read the whole capped information
14	there. Di	d you ever learn that information
15	through an	y source?
16	Α.	Not that I recall.
17	Q.	Are there any documents you could use
18	to refresh	your memory?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Do you recall ever meeting with Tom
21	O'Connor t	o discuss any issues he had with
22	Cynthia Ph	illips in March of 2012?
23	Α.	Not specifically, no.
24	Q.	Well, generally do you recall meeting
25	with him?	

	J.J.
1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	A. Right, yes. I've met with Tom two or
3	three times at least over the course
4	Q. Concerning Cynthia Phillips?
5	A. I don't recall the topics.
6	Q. As you sit here today, do you recall
7	meeting with Tom O'Connor concerning Cynthia
8	Phillips in March of 2012?
9	A. Not that I recall.
10	Q. Do you recall your father asking Tom
11	O'Connor any questions concerning his
12	interactions with Ms. Phillips?
13	A. I don't know. I don't I don't
14	recall.
15	Q. As you sit here today, was an
16	investigation ever conducted into looking into
17	Thomas O'Connor's concerns in March of 2012?
18	A. Not that I recall.
19	Q. As you sit here today, any documents
20	you can use to refresh your memory?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did you ever talk to Rebecca about
23	Tom O'Connor?
24	A. Yes.

When?

Q.

1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Α.	I don't know.
3	Q.	What was the discussion about?
4	Α.	I don't know.
5	Q.	You recall communicating with Rebecca
6	about Tom O	'Connor; you just don't know what it
7	was for and	what the topic was?
8	Α.	I don't recall the topics, no.
9	Q.	Do you recall speaking to your father
10	about Thoma	s O'Connor?
11	Α.	Sure.
12	Q.	What was said?
13	Α.	I don't know. Over the years.
14	Q.	Do you know who Maureen Kangas is?
15	Α.	A former employee, maybe. I don't
16	Q.	Do you know whether she's the general
17	manager at	the Poughkeepsie Grand Hotel?
18	Α.	It could be.
19	Q.	As you sit here today, do you know
20	whether she	is or not?
21	Α.	My father, I believe, has a
22	relationshi	p with her. I don't know.
23	Q.	Your father has a relationship? What
24	kind of rel	ationship does your father have with
25	Ms. Kangas?	

		Ji
1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Α.	She's in the business, hotels.
3	Q.	You're in the business of hotels.
4	But you sa	id you don't have a relationship with
5	her.	
6	Α.	That's right.
7	Q.	But you know your father has a
8	relationsh	ip with her?
9	Α.	Yup.
10	Q.	What is the nature of the
11	relationsh	ip?
12	Α.	I don't know the they talk shop.
13	Q.	How do you know?
14	Α.	I don't. He would talk to many
15	general ma	nagers across New York State.
16	Q.	I'm asking how do you know that they
17	talk shop?	Were you present for that?
18	Α.	Not that I recall.
19	Q.	Have you been in the presence of
20	Maureen Ka	ngas while your father was there, too?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	Have you and your dad discussed
23	Maureen Ka	ngas?
24	Α.	Not that I recall.
25	Q.	Do you know whether Ms. Kangas was

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	ever an employee of any of the corporations that
3	Banta Management oversees?
4	A. I want to say yes, but I'm not sure.
5	I don't know specifically.
6	Q. As you sit here today, you don't know
7	offhand whether she was or not?
8	A. No.
9	Q. What, if anything, did you do by way
10	of preparing to come here today?
11	A. I discussed I met with my
12	attorney.
13	Q. Without getting into the details of
14	what was said, when did you meet with her?
15	A. Several times over the past however
16	many months this
17	Q. In preparation specifically for
18	today's deposition.
19	A. Oh.
20	MS. WONG-PAN: I'm going to just
21	instruct, direct the witness, Mr. Banta,
22	not to talk about the substance of our
23	conversations.
24	MS. NICAJ: Right.
25	A. Last week.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. And on how many occasions did you
3	meet with her last week?
4	A. Once.
5	Q. Where last week did you meet with
6	her?
7	A. Her office.
8	Q. How long was the meeting?
9	A. An hour, hour and a half.
10	Q. In the presence of whom?
11	A. My father, Cynthia Phillips.
12	Q. So, all three of you were present?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did you review any documents?
15	MS. WONG-PAN: I'm directing him
16	not to answer any more questions.
17	MS. NICAJ: The reviewing of the
18	documents is certainly permissible to know
19	how he prepared. That's not a I'm not
20	asking attorney/client communications.
21	I'm asking did he review any documents.
22	MS. WONG-PAN: That's fine.
23	Documents that are not attorney/client
24	privileged, if any, you can answer.
25	MS. NICAJ: Well, I'm certainly

George	Edward	Banta,	Jx .
--------	--------	--------	------

- Q. You don't have any recollection concerning a meeting he had with you, your father and Rich Fishman on March 19, 2012?
  - MS. WONG-PAN: Objection; asked and answered. His name is Fisher.
  - Q. Fisher.
  - A. No.

- Q. Any documents you can use to refresh your memory?
  - A. No.
  - MS. WONG-PAN: Objection; asked and answered.
- Q. You say you have no recollection.

  Do you recall any notes concerning
  any meetings that you may have had with

  Mr. O'Connor?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Where do you maintain offices?
  - A. 842 Main Street.
  - Q. Where is that?
  - A. Poughkeepsie.
- Q. And is that the Pizzeria Uno, where the Pizzeria Uno is located?
  - A. Yes. Where the Chicago Bar and Grill

## George Edward Banta, Jr.

- A. I didn't realize he was denying assaulting. I thought he clearly admitted that he was in Cynthia's house.
- Q. Well, did you have an understanding that he had previously gone to Cynthia's house?
  - A. I hadn't thought about that.
- Q. You understood, you said there were rumors that they had a personal intimate relationship. You were aware of that; right?
  - A. Yup.

- Q. Did you confirm with Cynthia whether they had, in fact, had a personal intimate relationship?
  - A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Who performed the investigation?
    MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
  - A. Myself, my father.
- Q. You and your father. What did you do by way of investigating?
  - A. Interviewed relevant parties.
- Q. When you say "relevant parties," you interviewed them, who did you interview?
- A. I talked -- I communicated with Tom via email. I sat down with Cynthia.

	46
1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. You sat down with Cynthia, but you
3	communicated did you interview Tom O'Connor?
4	A. No, not sitting down face to face.
5	Q. Why not?
6	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
7	You can answer.
8	A. I thought our communications through
9	emails was adequate.
10	Q. So, in terms of when you say you
11	interviewed the relevant parties, you mentioned
12	Tom O'Connor and Cynthia Phillips, but you
13	didn't interview Tom O'Connor, did you?
14	A. I guess not.
15	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
16	Q. Anyone else you interviewed?
17	A. I don't know. There was other
18	communications to some other employees. I don't
19	know if there was interviews.
20	Q. As you sit here today, did you
21	interview anyone other than speaking to Cynthia

Phillips?

22

23

24

- I don't recall. Casey may have been Α. one person we talked to.
  - Q. As you sit here today, did you, in

I'm not sure. We interviewed Α. Cynthia. We communicated via email.

24

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. You said "We interviewed Cynthia."
3	Who is the "we" you're referring to?
4	A. My father and I.
5	Q. He was there when you interviewed
6	Cynthia?
7	A. I think so.
8	Q. I'm not asking what you think. I'm
9	asking what is. Was he there?
10	A. I don't know.
11	Q. So, when you say "we," what do you
12	mean by "we"?
13	A. This whole investigation we treated
14	very seriously, and we had discussions about how
15	we proceeded. We consulted our attorneys
16	throughout the investigation.
17	Q. Who is the "we" that interviewed
18	Cynthia Phillips? You said we interviewed her.
19	As you sit here today, who
20	interviewed Ms. Phillips?
21	A. I know I was present. I don't know
22	if my dad was there or not. I don't recall.
23	Q. Did you reduce what you asked her and
24	what she said in writing?
25	A. Did I reduce what she said in writing?

George	Edward	Banta,	Jr.

Q. Yes.

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did you ask her any written questions that you had drafted prior?
  - A. I don't think so.
- Q. How did you come to learn that there was any incident between Tom O'Connor and Cynthia Phillips?
- A. From Cynthia, and there was an order of protection, documentation from her psychiatrist or...
- Q. When did you receive that documentation from her psychiatrist? When did you see that?
- A. After she -- after she had been -- for the order of protection, I believe. I don't remember the exact dates but...
- Q. When was the first time you ever saw any documentation from her psychiatrist?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. You realize there was a point in time that Tom O'Connor filed a division of human rights complaint against Ms. Phillips, right, and against your company; right?

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	A. I guess. Sure.
3	Q. Not you guess. You realize that;
4	right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you ever submit that
7	documentation from the psychiatrist to the
8	division of human rights as you put it?
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. Did you ask Ms. Phillips whether she
11	had an intimate personal relationship with Tom
12	O'Connor during your interview with her as you
13	say?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You asked her about that.
16	And what did she say?
17	A. She said they had dated.
18	Q. When did she tell you they had dated?
19	A. I don't know the exact date.
20	Q. I'm going to break this interview
21	the investigation down.
22	When you first learned there was an
23	incident between Tom O'Connor and Cynthia
24	Phillips, was this in person, by telephone or in
25	some other way?

And you just happened to be in her

I don't know.

24

25

Α.

Q.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	office that day?
3	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
4	A. I don't know what I I'm at the
5	Beekman Arms several times a week.
6	Q. And what did Ms. Phillips say to you?
7	A. That she'd been assaulted and
8	physically harmed.
9	Q. Did she say when prior to this
10	meeting she had been assaulted?
11	A. It had been it was recent to the
12	meeting, maybe a day or two or
13	Q. A day or two. Okay.
14	A. Prior to our discussion.
15	Q. As you sit here today, did you make
16	note of that
17	A. No.
18	Q what she was telling you? Why
19	not?
20	A. I didn't I didn't need to.
21	Q. You don't need to? Why don't you
22	feel the need to document things of that nature
23	when told?
24	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection. We're
25	becoming argumentative.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. I'm asking.
3	A. I don't document very much on my day-
4	to-day ongoings.
5	Q. She said she was assaulted by whom?
6	A. Tom O'Connor.
7	Q. What did she say by way of the
8	assault? What did she say happened?
9	A. That he had hurt her shoulder or
LO	right arm or something and that he had squeezed
L1	her neck.
L2	Q. He had squeezed her neck? Did you
L3	see any bruises on her neck?
L4	A. I don't recall. I think there was
L5	there was bruising, and she went to the doctor.
L6	MS. WONG-PAN: Did you see any
L7	bruises?
L8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
L9	Q. Did you see any photographs to
20	confirm bruising?
21	A. I don't recall.
22	Q. Did you ask her for photographs?
23	A. I don't recall.
24	Q. You don't recall asking her for
25	photographs?

- A. I don't remember the exact questions that were asked or the conversation.
- Q. Well, is it fair to say you wanted Tom O'Connor -- you specifically asked him in writing to provide you certain information; is that right?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Did you do that in writing with Cynthia Phillips?
  - A. Not in writing.
- Q. Why not? Why not in writing? Why did you ask him in writing, but not Ms. Phillips in writing?
- A. I don't know. I wasn't directed by my attorney to do so.
- Q. You were directed to ask Tom O'Connor in writing, but not Cynthia Phillips in writing? Is that what you're saying?

MS. WONG-PAN: I direct the witness not to discuss attorney/client conversations.

- Q. Who was your attorney at the time?
- A. I forget the -- what's his name, the attorney --

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	MS. WONG-PAN: If you don't
3	remember, I can't answer for you.
4	A. I don't remember.
5	Q. Going back to your meeting with
6	Ms. Phillips, did you ask her for any statements
7	in writing?
8	A. I don't recall.
9	Q. Do you know why you wouldn't have
10	asked her for any statements in writing she had?
11	A. Because we spoke verbally.
12	Q. So, you spoke verbally. You didn't
13	take any notes.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. No documentation as to what was said
16	by you or her in these verbal communications; is
17	that fair to say?
18	A. Not that I recall.
19	Q. At this meeting with Cynthia, did she
20	tell you she saw a doctor?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. At this meeting, at this first
23	meeting with her, she said she saw a doctor; is
24	that right?
25	A. I believe so, yes.

# George Edward Banta, Jr.

- Q. And what did she say, what kind of doctor did she see?
  - A. A psychiatrist and an orthopedist.
- Q. This first meeting, she said she saw a psychiatrist and an orthopedist.

What did she say the psychiatrist said to her at this first meeting?

MS. WONG-PAN: Objection; compound question.

MS. NICAJ: Withdrawn.

Q. At this meeting you said she said to you she saw an orthopedist and a psychiatrist.

What did she say the psychiatrist said -- what she said to the psychiatrist?

MS. WONG-PAN: Objection; compound question. I'm not so sure he said -MS. NICAJ: Withdrawn.

- Q. What did she say she said to the psychiatrist?
- A. I don't know what she said to the psychiatrist. But I assume it was regarding the incident that took place.
- Q. And what did she say the psychiatrist said to her?

- A. The only thing I remember, I recall the psychiatrist at some point, she received a letter giving her -- asking for her to take a mental leave from work.
- Q. I'm interested in that meeting. I'm limiting myself, you understand this. Is that right?
  - A. Sure.

Q. I'm limiting myself to what was said at that meeting.

So what did she say the psychiatrist said to her at this initial meeting in which she told you about this assault?

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. What did she say she said to the orthopedist at this meeting?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. When she saw the orthopedist, what did she say the orthopedist said to her at this meeting?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. This first meeting with her, that's what I'm concerned with. I'm going to break down each communication.

- A. Okay. I don't recall.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you know what orthopedist she saw when you first went to her -- when she first spoke to you about this?

  MS. WONG-PAN: Objection to form.
- A. Do I know the doctor? Yes, he's a friend of mine, actually.
- Q. He's a friend of yours? And his name is...?
  - A. Bill Colman.
  - Q. Bill...?
  - A. Colman, C-o-1-m-a-n.
- Q. Do you know how she came to see this orthopedist? Was this your referral?
  - A. No.
- Q. And you understood she went to Dr. Colman at this first meeting; is that right?
  - A. I guess. I believe so, yeah.
- Q. Well, it's based on what she said to you. That's all I'm concerned with. And you said that she said she went to see an orthopedist at this first meeting with her, and she saw a psychiatrist --
  - A. Yes.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr	•
2	Q at this first meeting?	

- And did she tell you what Dr. Colman diagnosed her with at this first meeting with her?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you see any forms or letters at this first meeting with her?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. What else was said by you or her during this first meeting?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. What, if anything, did you do after this first meeting with respect to what she told you?
- A. I don't recall. I'm sure we immediately had conversations with my father, Rich, and Rebecca, our office manager.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you recall doing so?
  - A. No.
- Q. During this time was Mr. O'Connor part of a lawsuit concerning lost -- with the Department of Labor concerning wages he was owed?

You can answer.

24

25

I don't recall, no. Α.

George Edward Banta,	Jr.
----------------------	-----

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. As you sit here today, do you recall speaking to Mr. Fisher about your meeting with Ms. Phillips?
  - MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
  - I don't recall. Α.
- Do you recall what happened next as Q. you sit here today that you do recall?
  - What happened next? Α.
- In connection with what she told you, Q. Ms. Phillips.
  - No, I don't recall. Α.
- You don't know, you don't remember Q. what was done?
- Did you keep a log of what actions you were taking with respect to what she told you?
  - No. Α.
- Did you ever ask Ms. Phillips whether Q. she had ever given Tom O'Connor the keys before?
  - Α. No, I don't recall.
- Did you ever ask Ms. Phillips whether Ο. she hid keys and had told him where the location of the keys were to her house?
  - I don't recall. Α.

George	Edward	Banta,	Jr.

- Q. Did you ever ask her whether she had previously permitted Mr. O'Connor to come in the house for any purpose?
  - A. I don't recall.

- Q. Did you ever speak to anyone other than Ms. Phillips about interactions
  Ms. Phillips and Mr. O'Connor had?
  - A. Not that I recall.
  - Q. Is there a reason why not?
- A. Why I don't know? No, I don't know why I don't recall.
- Q. Is there a reason why you wouldn't have spoken to any other employees about their observations?
  - MS. WONG-PAN: Objection. He said he doesn't recall.
  - MS. NICAJ: Don't state what his testimony is, counselor, okay.
  - MS. WONG-PAN: Listen, I'm putting my objection on the record. You're asking the questions over and over.
    - MS. NICAJ: No.
  - MS. WONG-PAN: I have -- all right.

    I'm going to limit talking objections, but

I'm entitled to put the basis of the objection on the record. And the basis is asked and answered. Go ahead.

- Q. Did you ever speak to any employee about what, if anything, they observed about Tom O'Connor and Cynthia Phillips?
- A. I'm sure I spoke to employees. I don't recall the specific conversations.
- Q. I'm asking about the interactions.

  I'm limiting the questions to -- I'm sure you have spoken to employees. That's a fair statement. But did you ever speak to employees about their observations concerning Tom O'Connor and Cynthia Phillips?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You did. Who?
  - A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Did you ever reduce that to writing?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Why?
- A. Why did I need to? Why would I need to?
- Q. You had Ms. -- you made sure

  Mr. O'Connor's statements were reduced to

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	writing. Isn't that fair to say?
3	MS. WONG-PAN: No. Objection.
4	A. That's our method of communication.
5	I don't require him to put things in writing.
6	Q. Why didn't you call him?
7	A. We did speak on the phone at some
8	point.
9	Q. Okay. In all your communications
10	with Mr. O'Connor, you had you wanted him to
11	email you concerning these facts. Yes?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Why didn't you ask him, "let's talk"?
14	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
15	A. I don't
16	MS. WONG-PAN: Misstatement.
17	A. I don't know. We were communicating
18	via email. That's how we communicated.
19	Q. Did you ever call him to ask him the
20	questions that you wanted answered?
21	A. I don't know.
22	Q. When did you first communicate with
23	Mr. O'Connor for any reason after Cynthia
24	Phillips communicated with you?
25	A. I don't recall. Soon after.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. When you say "soon after," that day?
3	A. I don't recall.
4	Q. How many days lapsed? You don't have
5	any idea?
6	A. It was it could have been hours.
7	It could have been days.
8	MS. WONG-PAN: Why don't you show
9	him the emails?
1.0	MS. NICAJ: Counsel, when you want
11	to take a deposition, you can do so. But
12	please stop telling me what to do, okay.
13	MS. WONG-PAN: Fine, Go ahead.
14	Run your deposition.
15	Q. Incidentally, did you make any
16	statements to the division of human rights?
17	Were you interviewed by them?
18	A. Interviewed by them?
19	Q. Yes, by telephone or in person.
20	A. I don't recall for regarding this
21	incident?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. I don't think so.
24	Q. You were never interviewed by
25	telephone or anything like that?
	t .

#### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 question. 3 Did you have communications with 4 these individuals concerning Tom O'Connor and 5 Cynthia Phillips? 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. When? 8 We talked to our attorney, and we had Α. 9 a meeting about this before we sent this email. 10 With whom did you have a meeting Q. 11 about this? 12 Α. Rich, my father, Rebecca, and we were 13 on the phone with -- I believe it was Jim 14 Drohan. 15 What about your mother? Q. 16 Α. She probably was not at that meeting. 17 Is there a reason why you copied her Q. 18 then? 19 My father and she share an email. Α. 20 And where was this meeting? Q. 21 At our office. Α. 22 In whose office? Q. 23 My office in 842 Main Street. Α. 24 Q. And what day did it take place? 25 Thursday, November 1st. Α.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. The meeting between you, Rebecca,
3	Rich Fisher and your father?
4	MS. WONG-PAN: And the attorney.
5	A. And the attorney, yes.
6	Q. And the attorney was who?
7	A. I believe it was Jim Drohan.
8	Q. Jim?
9	A. Drohan.
10	Q. And that is a partner at
11	Ms. Wong-Pan's law firm?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. How long was that meeting?
14	A. I don't know.
15	(Document handed to court reporter)
16	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 17
17	2-page 3/13/14 Email marked for
18	identification.)
19	(Witness peruses exhibit)
20	Q. To your knowledge, was Mr. O'Connor
21	ever charged criminally with any assault on
22	Ms. Phillips?
23	A. I don't know. Specifically, I don't.
24	There was an order of protection.
25	Q. I understand. Was he ever charged

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	criminally? That's from family court. Was he
3	ever charged criminally with any assault on
4	Ms. Phillips?
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. Did you ever ask her?
7	A. I don't know if she decided to press
8	charges or not.
9	Q. I'm not asking "decided to press."
LO	Did you ever ask her whether there
L <b>1</b>	were any criminal charges against Mr. O'Connor?
L2	A. I know she was contemplating it.
L3	That's as far as I
L4	Q. Did you ask her, "Are there criminal
L5	charges against Mr. O'Connor?"
L6	A. I asked her if she's going to press
L <b>7</b>	charges.
L8	Q. And when did you do that? When did
L9	you ask her?
20	A. I don't remember the specifics.
21	Probably when we first when she first brought
22	it to my attention; he assaulted her.
23	Q. I'm not interested in probably.
24	As you sit here today, when did you
25	ask Ms. Phillips whether she was contemplating

		7	<b>7</b> 3
1		George Edward Banta, Jr.	
2	Q.	What, if anything, did you do when	
3	you receiv	ed Tom O'Connor's emails?	
4	Α.	We examined them and we discussed	
5	Q.	Who is "we"?	
6	Α.	My father and myself, Richard Fisher.	
7	Q.	When did you collectively examine	
8	Plaintiff'	s Exhibit 19?	
9	Α.	Probably November 8th, Thursday.	
10	Q.	Probably. As you sit here today, do	
11	you recall	doing so?	
12	Α.	I don't recall. But this came in	
13	November 7	th, so I'm sure we discussed it the	
14	next morni	ng.	
15	Q.	I'm not interested in what you assume	<del>!</del>
16	or probabl	y. I'm interested in what you	
17	remember.	Is that fair?	
18	Α.	Yup.	
19	Q.	I instruct don't make assumptions,	
20	don't assı	ıme. If you don't know, you let me	
21	know. Oka	ıy?	
22	Α.	Okay.	
23	Q.	As you sit here today, do you recall	

Q. As you sit here today, do you recall having any communications with either your father or Richard Fisher concerning Plaintiff's

24

### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 in writing and we'll certainly respond to 3 it. 4 MS. NICAJ: Sure. I call for 5 production of all emails to and from the 6 named parties concerning Tom O'Connor and 7 Cynthia Phillips. - DOCUMENT/DATA REQUESTED: 8 9 When you said you forwarded this to Q. 10 Richard Fisher, did you have a discussion with 11 him? 12 MS. WONG-PAN: Objection. 13 Misstatement. 14 Did you forward this to Mr. Fisher? Q. 15 Α. I may have. I know I forwarded it to 16 our attorney. 17 I'm not asking your attorney. I'm Ο. 18 asking specifically, did you forward this to 19 Richard Fisher? 20 I don't recall. Α. 21 Did you ever ask Cynthia Phillips for Q. 22 copies of her text messages? 23 That was part of the investigation, Α. 24 ves. 25 Q. You asked for her copies. Did she

#### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 send you copies of her text messages? 3 I don't recall. 4 Q. Did you ever receive any photographs 5 of her text messages to and from Mr. O'Connor? 6 Α. I saw --7 MS. WONG-PAN: From Cynthia? 8 MS. NICAJ: Yes. I saw them on her phone. I don't 9 Α. 10 know if I have copies of it. 11 Q. When you say you saw them, when did 12 you see them on her phone? 13 Α. When we did our investigation, we sat 14 down with Cynthia and interviewed her and asked 15 her --16 Who is the "we" that sat down with Q. 17 Cynthia, that you keep on saying "we." 18 I'm interested in, who is the "we" 19 you're referring to? 20 I believe it was my dad and I. Α. 21 Q. You sat with Cynthia when? 22 At some point during this... these 23 communications. 24 When did you sit with her at some 0. 25 point during these communications? Was this at

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Pizzeria Uno or at the Beekman Arms or something
3	else?
4	MS. WONG-PAN: This is a where or
5	when question?
6	MS. NICAJ: When.
7	A. Somewhere in the beginning part of
8	November, it looks like.
9	Q. When you say it looks like, where is
LO	that referred to, that sitting down? You're
11	looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 19 and saying it
12	looks like we met somewhere in the beginning of
13	November.
14	A. That's right.
15	Q. You're looking at Exhibit 19. Why
16	does it look, based on you looking at
L7	Exhibit 19, that you met with her sometime in
18	early November?
L9	A. Because when we gathered information
20	from Tom, we gathered information from Cynthia.
21	Q. Did you ever get any hard copies of
22	the information you gathered from Cynthia?
23	A. I don't recall.
24	Q. As you sit here today, do you recall
25	getting any hard copies of anything from Cynthia

# 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 Phillips at that time? 3 I recall seeing a letter from her 4 psychiatrist, I believe. 5 You indicated that you saw something Q. 6 at that first meeting with Cynthia Phillips; is 7 that right? 8 MS. WONG-PAN: Objection. 9 Q. Right? 10 I don't know if it was the first Α. 11 meeting or the second meeting. Well, you testified extensively about 12 Q. 13 your first meeting with her, and she showed you 14 a letter from her psychiatrist. 15 Do you recall testifying here today 16 about that? 17 Yes. Α. 18 MS. WONG-PAN: Objection. It's a 19 misstatement, but the record will be 20 clear. 21 MS. NICAJ: The record is what it 22 is. 23 MS. WONG-PAN: The record is what 24 it is. That's true. 25 MS. NICAJ: You know --

#### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 I don't recall. Α. 3 Is there a reason why not, why you Q. 4 wouldn't have? 5 I wouldn't know. I don't -- no, I Α. don't know why. 6 I'm going to show you what's marked 7 8 Plaintiff's Exhibit 20. 9 Α. Yup. 10 Q. Do you see that? 11 Α. Yup. 12 Q. It says, "Our investigation is 13 ongoing. If you could please email over a 14 printout of these text messages or any emails 15 that might be relevant to this situation by 16 tomorrow afternoon, it would be greatly 17 appreciated." Do you see that? 18 Α. Yup. 19 You requested that he print out the Q. 20 text messages and any emails; is that right? 21 Α. Yup. 22 And did you do the same for Cynthia Q. 23 Phillips? 24 Α. I saw them myself.

I'm asking, did you ask for a

25

Q.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	print you saw the emails and text messages or
3	one or
4	A. The text messages on Cynthia's phone.
5	Q. Did you ask her for printouts of
6	emails?
7	A. I don't recall.
8	Q. And you said you saw them yourself.
9	What text messages did you see?
LO	A. Some of the ones that Tom had sent
L1	over, and I forget what other ones.
12	Q. Which ones did you see?
L3	A. I don't know. There was many
L4	conversations between the two
L5	Q. Which ones did you see?
16	MS. WONG-PAN: Let him finish
L7	answering.
L8	Q. I apologize. As I said, I don't mean
L9	to interrupt you. I do apologize if I
20	interrupted you.
21	A. Sure.
22	Q. Are you done with your response?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. As you sit here today, do you recall
25	any specific text messages you recall seeing

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.		
2	from Cynthia Phillips?		
3	A. No, I don't recall.		
4	Q. Did you ever ask her to print them		
5	out for you?		
6	A. I don't recall.		
7	Q. How long had she had that particular		
8	phone that she showed you?		
9	A. I have no idea.		
10	Q. Did you photograph any of those text		
11	messages?		
12	A. I don't recall.		
13	Q. Is there a reason why you would have		
14	asked Tom O'Connor for printouts of his when he		
15	had already relayed to you in a previous email		
16	what some of them were?		
17	A. Why would I ask him to print them		
18	out?		
19	Q. Yes, yes, when he told you what it		
20	said.		
21	A. We were communicating via email.		
22	Q. Well, he had already told you in		
23	writing by email what they said, but you asked		
24	for printouts of those.		
25	So I'm asking, why would you have		

O'Connor text messages?

#### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 office tomorrow morning, and you can read and 3 confirm the accuracy of what I said. I could 4 forward the later messages by phone, but I do 5 not believe the initial source would be 6 attached, the email about the threat of calling 7 the police on me first. Extortion is 8 printable." Do you see that? 9 Α. Yup. 10 Did you ever see the text message Q. 11 about threatening Tom O'Connor with extortion? 12 I don't recall. Α. 13 Did you ever meet with Mr. O'Connor Q. so he could show you his phone personally? 14 I don't recall. 15 Α. 16 MS. NICAJ: Can you mark this. 17 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 22 18 4-page 3/13/14 Email marked for 19 identification.) Showing you what is Plaintiff's 20 Q. Exhibit 22, do you see that? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Do you recall that date, the Q. 24 incident? 25 Α. Yes.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.		
2	Q. Did you call the police?		
3	A. I don't recall calling the police.		
4	Q. Do you know whether you or your		
5	father called the police?		
6	A. I don't know who called the police.		
7	Q. Do you know whether Mr. O'Connor, in		
8	fact, was first present at that Pizzeria Uno		
9	before Ms. Phillips ever came?		
LO	A. I don't know who showed up first.		
11	Q. Well, according to this email, he was		
L2	there prior; right?		
L3	A. Okay.		
L4	Q. Yes?		
L5	MS. WONG-PAN: That is according to		
L6	the email, is that the question?		
L7	MS. NICAJ: Yes.		
L8	Q. Did Cynthia Phillips ever call you to		
L9	say, "I just got here and I see Mr. O'Connor's		
20	car he's not inside the car at the parking		
21	lot of Pizzeria Uno's"?		
22	A. I forget the		
23	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection,		
24	objection.		
25	You can answer.		

George Edward	Banta,	Jr.
---------------	--------	-----

- A. I forget how the communication came about between Cynthia and Tom, that Tom was there.
- Q. Well, not between Cynthia and Tom, between either Cynthia and you or Cynthia and your dad. Did she speak to you that day?
- A. She spoke to both my father and I, yes.
  - O. On the telephone?

- A. I don't know if we talked on the phone. I know we sat down in person.
- Q. I'm interested in, how did you learn that Cynthia Phillips was in the parking lot of Pizzeria Uno?
  - A. Via phone, I guess.
- Q. Not you guess. How did you come to learn that?
  - A. I don't know.

MS. NICAJ: I think this may be a good time to take a break here.

MS. WONG-PAN: Okay.

(Recess held from 11:16 a.m. to 11:26 a.m.)

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 23

5-page 3/13/14 Email marked for

#### 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 identification.) 3 CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. NICAJ: 4 Q. Showing you what's marked as 5 Plaintiff's Exhibit 23, do you see that 6 document? 7 Α. Yup. 8 Q. What do you recognize it to be? 9 A request for information. Α. 10 Well, request for what information? Q. 11 The amended order of protection and Α. 12 additional text messages. You see here he's the one who 13 Q. 14 stated -- this is in the email below dated 15 November 16th -- that he had an amended order of 16 protection. And then you respond, "Amended 17 order of protection and the other additional 18 text messages." Do you see that? 19 Α. Yup. 20 How many text messages did you see Q. 21 from Cynthia Phillips's phone? 22 I don't know. A bunch. Α. 23 Did you compare her phone at any Q. 24 point with the text messages Mr. O'Connor told 25 you about?

1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Α.	I don't recall. I'm sure we did.
3	Q.	When you say you're sure you did, as
4	you sit he	re today, do you recall doing so?
5	Α.	Nope.
6		MS. NICAJ: Can you mark this.
7		(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 24
8	5-page	e 3/13/14 Email marked for
9	ident	ification.)
10	Q.	Do you recognize that document?
11	Α.	Yup.
12	Q.	What do you recognize that to be?
13	Α.	Just another email from Tom.
14		MS. NICAJ: Another one. (Handing)
15		(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 25
16	6 - page	e 3/13/14 Email marked for
17	ident	ification.)
18	Q.	I'm going to show you what's marked
19	as Plainti	ff's Exhibit 25 for identification.
20		Do you recognize that document?
21	Α.	Yup.
22	Q.	Do you recall receiving a fax from
23	Mr. O'Conr	or with the amended order of
24	protection	1?
25	Α.	I don't recall.
	l .	

George Edward Banta, Jr.
Q. Well, you never replied that you
hadn't received it; is that right?
A. I guess. Yeah.
Q. So, do you recall seeing a reference
to a thread of filing charges against him for
extortion made by Cynthia Phillips as referenced
in this email?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. You don't recall that? Did you ever
speak to Ms. Phillips about that?
A. I don't recall.
Q. As you sit here today, do you recall
ever asking her any questions about that?
A. I don't recall.
(Document handed to court reporter)
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 26
9-page 3/13/14 Email with Attached Copies
of Text Messages marked for
identification.)
Q. I show you what's marked as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 26.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yup.

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. Take a look at the attached text
3	messages and pictures.
4	A. Okay.
5	Q. Did you ever ask Ms. Phillips about
6	the email messages Mr. O'Connor emailed you on
7	November 19, 2012?
8	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
9	MS. NICAJ: I'll withdraw.
10	Q. You received Plaintiff's Exhibit 26,
11	right
12	A. Yup.
13	Q on or around November 19, 2012; is
14	that right?
15	A. Yup.
16	Q. Did you ever ask Cynthia Phillips
17	about your receipt?
18	MS. WONG-PAN: Objection.
19	MS. NICAJ: Withdrawn.
20	Q. Did you ever ask Ms. Phillips about
21	what you had received?
22	A. Yes, it was part of our investigation.
23	Q. When did you do that?
24	A. Probably somewhere around
25	November 19th.

	92
1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. As you sit here today, where were you
3	and where was she?
4	A. I don't recall.
5	Q. What did you ask her?
6	A. I don't recall.
7	Q. What did she say?
8	A. I don't recall.
9	Q. Who else was present?
10	A. I don't recall.
11	Q. Did you ask her about Plaintiff's
12	Exhibit 25?
13	A. I don't recall.
14	Q. Did you ask her about Plaintiff's
15	Exhibit 24?
16	A. I don't recall.
17	Q. Did you ever ask Tom O'Connor if he
18	had any witnesses to exchanges between him and
19	Cynthia Phillips?
20	A. I don't recall.
21	Q. Well, take a look at the emails that
22	we've just marked here today, and you tell me if
23	any of your emails requested witness information
24	that Tom O'Connor would have had.

(Documents handed)

		93
1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Α.	Say that again.
3	Q.	Well, looking at those documents,
4	Plaintiff'	s Exhibits 23 through 26, if I'm not
5	mistaken -	-
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	do you see any requests from you
8	concerning	witnesses that may have been may
9	have obser	ved he and Cynthia Phillips's
10	interactio	ns?
11	Α.	I don't see that.
12	Q.	Did you ever request
13	Α.	Not that I recall.
14	Q.	Is there a reason why not?
15	Α.	Not that I know of.
16	Q.	Did you ask Cynthia Phillips for
17	witness	statements from witnesses?
18	Α.	I don't recall asking her, no.
19	Q.	Did you ever receive any kind of
20	training c	oncerning discrimination policies in
21	the workpl	ace?
22	Α,	Back in college, yes.
23	Q.	That would have been what year?
24	Α.	I graduated from college in '96.

Q. And what was the course that you

1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	took?	
3	Α.	There was a bunch of human resources
4	classes I	took.
5	Q.	Well, what course specifically did
6	you take t	hat addressed discrimination policies
7	in the wor	kplace?
8	Α.	I don't recall.
9	Q.	Do you recall anything of substance
10	concerning	those courses as it concerns
11	discrimina	tion policies?
12	Α.	I don't recall.
13	Q.	You went to school for what?
14	Α.	Hotel and restaurant.
15	Q.	Was it in Cornell?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	And as you sit here today, you don't
18	recall any	specific courses relating to
19	discrimina	tion policies that you took in
20	Cornell; i	s that correct?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	On how many occasions did you
23	communicat	e with Cynthia Phillips during the
24	course of	your investigation concerning Tom
25	0'Connor?	

1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Α.	I don't know.
3	Q.	More than five?
4	Α.	Probably.

More than ten?

A. Could be.

Q.

- Q. As you sit here today, do you recall documenting any of the times you communicated with Cynthia Phillips?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Do you recall reducing anything she said to you or you said to her in writing during the course of your investigation?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. What was the result of your investigation?
- A. It came down to the fact that there was an order of protection against Tom O'Connor, and he was not permitted to be within a certain space of Cynthia Phillips which precluded -- which, if he was at work, would have precluded Cynthia from acting as the general manager of the hotel.
- Q. Well, there was an amended order of protection; is that right?

# George Edward Banta, Jr.

- A. A general manager of a hotel that we operate, whether it's a Super 8 or the Beekman Arms, find themselves at the property at all hours of the day, whether it's 3:00 in the morning or 2:00 in the afternoon.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you recall a single instance in which Cynthia Phillips was required to go to the Beekman Arms in the middle of the night --
  - A. I'm sure --

- Q. -- between 12:00 a.m. and 8:00 a.m.?
- A. I'm sure she's worked the audit.
- Q. I'm not saying in place of someone
  like Tom O'Connor. Did she ever have occasion
  to go to the Beekman Arms while she was general
  manager in response to an emergency between
  12:00 midnight and 8:00 a.m. at the Beekman
  Arms?
  - A. I'm sure. I don't know the --
- Q. As you sit here today, do you recall any specific incident?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Did you ever ask her?
  - A. I don't recall.

# George Edward Banta, Jr.

- Q. Or did you ever ask Maria Schubert, her predecessor, if on any single occasion she went to the Beekman Arms from midnight to 8:00 since 2005?
  - A. I don't recall.

(Document handed to court reporter)

(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 27)

1-page 3/13/14 Email marked for identification.)

- Q. Incidentally, I know you're looking at 27 here, but I'm going to ask you a question:

  Did Ms. Phillips ever offer to resign?
  - A. She has resigned.
- Q. Prior to -- she resigned in March of 2014. While this session was going on, after she reported the incident between her and Tom O'Connor, did she ever offer to resign?
  - A. Not that I'm aware of.
  - Q. Did she ever offer to resign to you?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. I'm going to direct your attention to the first sentence of the email from you to Tom O'Connor. It's dated November 30, 2012.
  - A. Yup.

	,
1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q. Who is the "we" you're referring to?
3	A. My father, myself, Richard Fisher,
4	our management team.
5	Q. Well, what other people in the
6	management team are you referring to?
7	A. Rebecca. Obviously, we were
8	consulting an attorney at that point as well to
9	make sure we were
LO	Q. So, all of you participated in this
L1	investigation?
12	A. Yeah.
L3	MS. NICAJ: I'm going to call for
L4	the deposition of Mr. Fisher as well as
<b>L</b> 5	Rebecca is it Berkowitz?
L6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
L7	Q. What did Rebecca do by way of
18	investigation?
19	A. I don't recall offhand. She would
20	have had the employee files.
21	Q. I'm asking, what did she do based on
22	your knowledge? You said, "We have concluded
23	our investigation." So the "our" is Rebecca.
24	What did she do in reference to the

investigation?

1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Α.	She was involved in the conversations
3	we had.	
4	Q.	What did she do?
5	Α.	I don't recall.
6	Q.	Did she interview anyone?
7	Α.	I don't think so, no.
8	Q.	Was she present for any interviews
9	was she pro	esent for any discussions you had with
LO	Cynthia?	
L1	Α.	I don't think so.
12	Q.	You said she was involved in the
L3	files. Wha	at files are you referring to?
L4	Α.	Just employee files.
L5	Q.	What files did you look into?
L6	Α.	We had our office would gather
L7	the whe	ther it was Tom's employee file,
L8	Cynthia's e	employee file.
19	Q.	What specifically is in the employee
20	file that s	she'd look into for you, then?
21	Α.	I don't know. I don't recall.
22	Q.	Other than possibly looking into the
23	employee f	iles, anything else she did?
24	Α.	She was part of our discussions.
>5	0.	How many discussions?

# 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 As you sit here today, do you know Q. 3 whether he ever communicated directly with 4 Cynthia Phillips concerning the investigation in 5 connection with Tom O'Connor? 6 No. I don't recall. Α. Was he present for any communications 7 Q. 8 you had with Cynthia Phillips concerning Tom 9 O'Connor? 10 Α. I don't recall. 11 You said she showed you her phone? Ο. 12 Yes. Α. 13 On how many occasions did she show Q. 14 you her phone? 15 Α. I think, twice. 16 Q. When? 17 I don't recall. Α. 18 Q. Where was the first occasion? 19 I think it was in Rhinebeck in the Α. 20 Wayfair Room in the Beekman Arms. 21 Ο. The Wayfair Room is where? 22 Α. In the Beekman Arms. 23 Is that her office? I don't know Q. 24 what that means, so you have to -- that's why

I'm asking, what is the Wayfair Room?

# 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 Α. It's the Beekman Arms. It's a hotel. 3 Q. I understand it's in the Beekman 4 Arms, but what is the Wayfair Room? 5 It's a -- it's a banquet facility. Α. 6 So, the location of your discussion Q. 7 with Ms. Phillips was in the banquet facility? 8 Yup. Α. 9 And that's what you mean by Wayfair Q. 10 Room? 11 Α. Yup. 12 And at that room she showed you her Q. 13 phone? 14 Yes. Α. 15 Was anyone else present when she Q. 16 showed you her phone? 17 Α. I don't recall. 18 Q. Do you recall any specific text 19 messages you saw in her phone? 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 When was the next occasion she showed Q. 22 you her phone? 23 I don't recall. Α. 24 Who else was present? Q. 25 I don't know. Α.

1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q.	Where was it?
3	Α.	I don't know.
4	Q.	Did you ever document either time
5	that you o	bserved, saw her phone?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	Did Mr. Fisher ever interview any
8	employees?	
9	Α.	I don't know.
10	Q.	Well, did he tell you he did?
11	Α.	I don't recall.
12	Q.	How did he participate in your
13	investigat	ion?
14	Α.	Just the discussions we had as
15	informatio	n came in.
16	Q.	What discussions do you specifically
17	recall hav	ing in Mr. Fisher's presence?
18	Α.	I don't recall.
19	Q.	Do you recall anything that was said
20	by you or	him?
21	Α.	Not technically.
22	Q.	Not technically? What do you mean by
23	"technical	ly"?
24	Α.	I don't remember any specifics.
25	Q.	What do you generally recall speaking

# 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 with him about? 3 That we had all the information from 4 the investigation. The overriding factor was 5 there was an order of protection which precluded 6 Tom from working at Beekman Arms, so we 7 determined --8 Q. What is the general --9 I'm still --Α. 10 Q. I'm sorry. 11 MS. WONG-PAN: Let him finish. 12 And I do apologize. Go ahead. Q. 13 It was determined at that point that Α. 14 his was a non-essential role. Our general 15 manager needs to be at the property at any given 16 moment. It's easier to find -- to replace a 17 night auditor. 18 What was discussed about the Q. 19 investigation? 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 0. As you sit here today, do you recall 22 anything that was discussed in the presence of 23 Mr. Fisher about the investigations? 24 I don't recall. Α.

Do you recall discussing any text

25

Q.

1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 messages that Mr. O'Connor showed you? 3 I don't recall the conversations. Α. Nothing you recall, nothing 4 Q. 5 whatsoever? 6 Did you ever email Mr. Fisher? 7 Α. I don't recall. 8 Did he email you about this issue? Q. 9 I don't recall. Α. 10 Q. Do you recall having any 11 communications with your father? 12 Just our general discussion. I don't Α. 13 remember specific conversations. 14 As you sit here today, what were the Q. 15 general discussions with your father? 16 The general discussion was that there Α. 17 was an odd relationship between Tom and Cynthia, 18 and there was an order of protection. That was 19 what we acted on. 20 Q. When you say there was a general 21 discussion with your father about an odd 22 relationship, when did you and he discuss this 23 odd relationship between Tom and Cynthia? 24 After we saw the text messages and Α. 25 the emails and so forth.

106

# 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 0. Who is the "we"? 3 My dad, Rich, myself, Rebecca, Α. 4 Did you forward all these emails that Q. 5 Tom O'Connor sent to you to them? 6 Α. I don't recall. 7 Q. What did each of them say? 8 I don't know. Α. 9 Anything you recall as you sit here Q. 10 today by -- what was said by you or these 11 individuals? 12 Α. I don't recall. 13 Anything that would refresh your Q. 14 memory? 15 Α. I don't... 16 MS. WONG-PAN: What was that? 17 Α. I don't know. I don't recall what 18 any specific conversations were. 19 MS. NICAJ: I will call for 20 production of any and all written 21 communications in connection with Tom 22 O'Connor by the defendants. I'll put that 23 request in writing. I'm just making a 24 record of it as we sit here today. 25 -DOCUMENT/DATA REQUESTED:\_\_\_\_\_

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	MS. WONG-PAN: We've turned over
3	what we have. Once we get your request,
4	if there's anything else, we'll respond to it.
5	Q. Cynthia Phillips had an email address
6	that was assigned to her in connection with her
7	position as general manager; right?
8	A. I believe so, yes.
9	Q. Did you ever ask her via email to
10	send any and all text messages she had?
11	A. I don't think so.
12	Q. Did you ever ask her by email whether
13	to send any and all emails she had to and from
14	Tom O'Connor?
15	A. I didn't communicate with Cynthia via
16	email.
17	Q. I'm not asking that. I'm asking a
18	specific question: Did you ever via email have
19	her ask her
20	A. No.
21	MS. WONG-PAN: Wait. Let her
22	finish the question.
23	MS. NICAJ: I'll withdraw that
24	portion and have a clear record.
25	Q. Did you ever email her requesting any

1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 and all written correspondence she and Tom 3 O'Connor had, whether by text message, email, 4 Facebook, anything of that nature? 5 Α. I don't -- I don't recall doing so. 6 Did you ever ask her about whether Q. 7 she and Tom had -- when her and Tom's intimate 8 relationship ended? 9 I don't recall. Α. 10 MS. NICAJ: Mark this, please. 11 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 28 12 1-page 11/30/12 Letter marked for 13 identification.) 14 I'm going to direct your attention to 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 28. Who is the "we" you're 16 referring to by way of, "We have made our 17 decision to terminate your employment"? 18 Α. My father, Rich, myself. 19 All of you participated in the Q. 20 decision to terminate? 21 Α. Yup. 22 Yes? Q. 23 Yes. Α. 24 It wasn't your decision alone; is Q. 25 that right?

109

# 1 George Edward Banta, Jr. 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Do you recall what was said by each Q. 4 person in making a decision to terminate? 5 No, not specifically. Α. 6 What? Q. 7 Not specifically. Α. 8 MS. NICAJ: I'm going to take a 9 brief break. 10 (Recess held from 11:55 a.m. to 12:03 p.m.) 11 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 29 12 1-page 11/16/12 Blotter Entry marked for 13 identification.) 14 CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. NICAJ: 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 29, does that Q. 16 refresh your memory as to whether you called the police on November 16, 2012? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 It does? Q. 20 I don't know who called the police. Α. 21 Police were called. It says "Complainant." Do you see 22 Q. 23 that? 24 Okay. Α. 25 Do you know whether you were the Q.

# George Edward Banta, Jr. 1 2 complainant? 3 Α. I don't recall. Or whether George, because it's not 4 5 identified, you see there, whether it's you or your dad; right? 6 7 Yes, it could be my dad. You're the junior and he's the 8 Q. 9 Do you recall ever speaking to the 10 police on that date? 11 I don't recall. I think my dad spoke Α. 12 to the police. Prior to the break, you indicated 13 Q. 14 that you participated in the decision to 15 terminate Mr. O'Connor's employment; is that 16 correct? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Your dad did, too? Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Mr. Fisher did, too? Q. Yes. 21 Α. 22 Did your mom? Q. 23 I don't believe so. Α. 24 Your sister? Q. 25 Α. No.

#### George Edward Banta, Jr. 1 2 Q. So, the three of you, you, your dad 3 and Mr. Fisher, participated in the decision to 4 terminate? 5 Α. Yup. 6 Have you been deposed in any other Ο. 7 cases before? 8 Α. Yup. 9 Q. How many? I think, one. 10 Α. 11 In connection with what? Q. Some other lawsuit. 12 Α. You said you're vice president of 13 Q. Banta Management? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 For how long? Q. 17 2002. Α. 18 Prior to that, what did you do? Q. 19 I traded currencies and fixed income. Α. 20 And since 2002, for any of the Q. 21 entities, the only time you've been deposed was 22 one other occasion? 23 Α. I believe so, yes. 24 Q. And that was in the Vitarius case? 25 Α. I believe so, yes.

1		George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	Q.	That was a discrimination retaliation
3	case?	
4	Α.	Something along those lines, yes.
5	Q.	And how long was your deposition
6	then?	
7	Α.	I don't recall. Not very not as
8	long as th	is one.
9	Q.	And do you recall having any
10	communicat	ions concerning someone by the name of
11	Andrea Cho	insky?
12	Α.	I know the name, yes.
13	Q.	Do you know whether she is still
14	employed?	
15	Α.	She's not at the Beekman Arms.
16	Q.	Do you know how she came to no longer
17	be employe	d there?
18	Α.	I don't recall.
19	Q.	What about Jose Reid?
20	Α.	He was a maintenance person at the
21	Beekman Ar	ms.
22	Q.	Do you know if he's still employed
23	there?	
24	Α.	No.
25	Q.	Do you have any communications with

1	George Edward Banta, Jr.
2	him?
3	A. Not recently.
4	MS. NICAJ: Nothing further.
5	Actually, one more question.
6	Q. As you sit here today, is there
7	anything you want to add, change or otherwise
8	supplement to your previous testimony here
9	today?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Okay. Based on your recollection,
12	everything you've testified to was truthful
13	under the penalty of perjury; is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	MS. NICAJ: Okay. Nothing further.
16	(Time noted: 12:08 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	ı
STATE OF NEW YORK )	
) ss:	
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER )	
I, GEORGE EDWARD BANTA, JR., the	
witness herein, having read the foregoing	
testimony of the pages of this deposition, do	
hereby certify it to be a true and correct	
transcript, subject to the corrections, if any,	
shown on the attached page.	
000	
GEORGE EDWARD BANTA, JR.	
Subscribed and sworn to before me	
this day of, 2015.	

	11
	STATE OF NEW YORK )
	) ss:
	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER )
	I, Nina Purcell, Notary Public within
	and for the State of New York, do hereby
	certify:
	That I reported the proceedings in the
	within entitled matter, and that the within
	transcript is a true record of said
	proceedings.
	I further certify that I am not
	related to any of the parties to the action by
	blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
	interested in the outcome of this matter.
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
	set my hand this 28th day of April 2015.
	Jin Rudle
	NINA PURCELL, NOTARY PUBLIC
,	HOTAIN TOBETC

CORRECTION SHEET	
Re: O'Connor v. Beekm	an Arms
The followin	g corrections, additions
or deletions were note	d on the transcript of
the testimony which I	gave in the above-
captioned matter, held	on April 20, 2015.
PAGE(S) LINE(S) SHOU	LD READ
* *	
* *	
%c %c	
* *	
* *	
* *	
* * *	
* *	
	GEORGE EDWARD BANTA, JR
Subscribed and sworn t	o before me
thisday of	2015.

			117
1			
2	<u>I N D E X</u>		
3	EVAMINATION:	DACE	LTNE
4	EXAMINATION BY MS NICAR	PAGE 4	LINE 8
5	EXAMINATION BY MS. NICAJ:	4	0
6	<u>EXHIBITS</u>		
7	PLAINTIFF'S	PAGE	1 TNE
8	PLAINIIFF 3	PAGE	LINE
9	11	23	5
10	12  1-page 11/20/09  Letter	23	8
11	13 1-page 12/15/12 Email	29	17
12	14 2-page 7/28/14 Email	30	15
13	15  1-page 3/13/14  Email	66	5
14	16  1-page 3/13/14  Email	67	4
<b>1</b> 5	17 2-page 3/13/14 Email	69	16
16	18 2-page 3/13/14 Email	71	21
17	19  3-page  3/13/14  Email	72	6
18	20 4-page 3/13/14 Email	79	20
19	21 4-page 3/13/14 Email	84	6
20	22 4-page 3/13/14 Email	85	17
21	23 5-page 3/13/14 Email	87	24
22	24 5-page 3/13/14 Email	89	7
23	25 6-page 3/13/14 Email	89	15
24	26 9-page 3/13/14 Email with Attached Copies of Text Messages	90	17
25	(Continued next page)	30	+,

# Cascare14:18-0623542/28 Doccumentt142-35ilefile6/09/08/18affcage09010810f9118

		<u> </u>		
				118
1				
2	27 1-page 3/13/14 Email	97	8	
3	28 1-page 11/30/12 Letter	108	11	,
4	29 1-page 11/16/12 Blotter Entry	109	11	
5				
6	DOCUMENT/DATA REQUESTED:	PAGE	LINE	***
7				
8	All emails to and from the named			Topy may be a second se
9	parties concerning Tom O'Connor and Cynthia Phillips	75	7	
10	Any and all written communications			
11	in connection with Tom O'Connor by the defendants	106	24	And the second s
12				ALABAMATIN' TY PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON
13				100 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500
14				
15				
16				
17				***************************************
18				
19				or exercise and an article and an article and article article article and article article article and article arti
20				
21				
22				The state of the s
23				
24				
25				
				A. P. C.

7	2	31st [1] - 66:19	9-page [2] - 90:18, 117:24
	- <del> </del>	<b>3:00</b> (1) - 96:5	90 [1] - 117:24
		<b>3:23</b> [1] - 72:16	<b>97</b> [1] - 118:2
<b>'96</b> [1] - 93:24	<b>2-page</b> [6] - 30:16, 69:17,		9:30 [1] - 1:15
	71:22, 117:12, 117:15,	4	
1	117:16		Α
	<b>20</b> [6] - 1:14, 79:20, 80:8,	Arm 117:4 117:14	
1-page [16] - 23:6, 23:9,	116:8, 117:18	<b>4</b> [2] <b>-</b> 117:4, 117:14	1.45 30:44 00:00
29:18, 66:6, 67:5, 97:9,	<b>2000</b> [1] - 10:6	<b>4-page</b> [6] - 79:21, 84:7,	a.m (9) - 1:15, 33:11, 66:23
108:12, 109:12, 117:9,	<b>2002</b> [3] - 9:18, 111:17,	85:18, 117:18, 117:19,	87:23, 96:12, 96:18, 109:10
117:10, 117:11, 117:13,	111:20	117:20	above-captioned [1] - 1:1
117:14, 118:2, 118:3, 118:4	<b>2005</b> [1] ~ 97:5	40[1] - 8:6	absolutely [2] - 47:7, 79:4
106 [1] - 118:11	<b>2006</b> [1] - 10:6	<b>42</b> [2] - 1:13, 2:7	according [2] - 86:11,
<b>108</b> [4] - 118:3	<b>2008</b> [1] - 17:15		. 86:15
109[1] - 118:4	<b>2009</b> [1] - 17:15	5	accounts [3] - 14:14, 14:1
* *	<b>2011</b> [2] - 60:9, 60:10		15:6
<b>11</b> [6] - 23:4, 23:5, 23:12,	<b>2012</b> [22] - 7:22, 8:3, 8:11,	<b>5</b> <sub>[3]</sub> - 33:7, 117:9, 117:13	accuracy [1] - 85:3
117:9, 118:3, 118:4	18:22, 19:15, 27:15, 27:17,	5-page [4] - 87:25, 89:8,	acquired [3] - 9:17, 9:19,
11/16/12 [2] - 109:12, 118:4	28:7, 28:25, 29:10, 32:24,	5-page [4] - 67.25, 89.8, 117:21, 117:22	20:3
<b>11/19/09</b> [2] - 23:6, 117:9	33:11, 34:22, 35:8, 35:17,		acquisition [1] - 13:20
<b>11/20/09</b> [2] ~ 23:9, 117:10	41:4, 66:21, 72:16, 91:7,	<b>50</b> [1] - 8:6	acted [1] - 105;19
<b>11/30/12</b> [2] - 108:12, 118:3	91:13, 97:24, 109:17	<b>52</b> [1] - 2:12	acting [1] - 95:22
<b>11:16</b> [1] - 87:23	2014 [2] - 66:16, 97:16		action [3] - 3:6, 4:16,
<b>11:26</b> [1] - 87:23	2015 [5] - 1:14, 114:23,	6	115:17
<b>11:55</b> [1] - 109:10	115:22, 116:8, 116:22		actions [1] - 61:15
<b>12</b> [5] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:2,	<b>21</b> [3] - 84:6, 117:16,	<b>6</b> [2] - 117:17, 117:19	add [2] - 5:23, 113:7
33:11, 117:10	117:19	6-page [2] - 89:16, 117:23	addition [1] - 26:21
<b>12/15/12</b> [2] - 29:18, 117:11	<b>22</b> [3] - 85:17, 85:21,	66 <sub>[1]</sub> - 117:13	additional [2] - 88:12,
<b>12533</b> [1] - 2:13	117:20	67 [1] ~ 117:14	88:17
<b>12601</b> [2] - 2:8, 4:13	<b>23</b> <sub>[7]</sub> - 7:11, 87:24, 88:5,	69[1] - 117:15	additions [1] - 116:5
<b>12:00</b> [2] - 96:12, 96:18	93:4, 117:9, 117:10, 117:21		address [4] - 4:10, 74:18,
<b>12:03</b> [1] ~ 109:10	<b>24</b> [6] - 7:11, 89:7, 92:15,	<b>6:27</b> [1] ~ 66:23	74:22, 107:5
12:08[1] - 113:16	117:21, 117:22, 118:11		addressed [1] - 94:6
<b>12:25</b> [1] - 33:11	<b>25</b> [4] - 89:15, 89:19, 92:12,	7	adequate [1] - 46:9
13[5] - 4:12, 19:18, 29:17,			
66:16, 117:11	117:23	<b>7</b> [3] - 72:16, 117:22, 118:9	admitted (1) - 45:3
13th [1] - 66:13	<b>2517</b> [1] - 2:12	<b>7/28/14</b> [2] - 30:16, 117:12	Adnams [1] - 13:9
<b>14</b> [3] - 30:15, 31:10,	<b>26</b> [5] - 90:17, 90:22, 91:10,	71[1] - 117:16	afternoon [2] - 80:16, 96:6
117:12	93:4, 117:24	<b>72</b> [1] - 117:17	ago [2] - 43:5, 43:10
<b>14-06237</b> [1] - 1:6	<b>27</b> [3] - 97:8, 97:12, 118:2	<b>75</b> [1] - 118:9	AGREED [3] - 3:2, 3:11,
14th <sub>[1]</sub> - 66;14	<b>28</b> [3] - 108:11, 108:15,		3:19
<b>15</b> [4] - 66:5, 117:12,	118:3	<b>79</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 117:18	ahead [3] - 63:4, 65:13,
117:13, 117:23	28th [1] - 115:22	7th [1] - 73:13	104:12
<b>16</b> [4] - 67:4, 109:17,	<b>29</b> [4] - 109:11, 109:15,		alert [1] - 44:3
	117:11, 118:4	8	alerted [1] - 43:25
117:14, 117:15	<b>2:00</b> [1] - 96:6		alone [1] - 108:24
16th <sub>[1]</sub> - 88:15		8 [4] - 96:3, 117:4, 117:10.	ALSO [1] ~ 2:16
<b>17</b> [6] - 69:16, 71:10,	3	118:2	amended [5] - 88:11, 88:1
117:11, 117:15, 117:20,		84 [1] - 117:19	88:16, 89:23, 95:24
117:24	3-page [2] - 72:7, 117:17	<b>842</b> [5] - 41:20, 68:23, 74:8,	AND [3] - 3:2, 3:11, 3:19
<b>18</b> [3] - 71:21, 71:25,	3/13/14 [26] - 66:6, 67:5,	100:5, 100:6	and (1) - 15:10
117:16	69:17, 71:22, 72:7, 79:21,	<b>85</b> [1] - 117:20	Andrea (2) - 51:23, 112:11
<b>19</b> [12] - 28:25, 41:4, 72:6,		87 <sub>[1]</sub> - 117:21	answer [13] - 5:19, 8:5,
72:10, 73:8, 74:2, 77:11,	84:7, 85:18, 87:25, 89:8,	<b>89</b> [2] - 117:22, 117:23	27:21, 32:3, 39:16, 39:24,
77:15, 77:17, 91:7, 91:13,	89:16, 90:18, 97:9, 117:13,	8:00[3] - 96:12, 96:18, 97:4	46:7, 47:12, 55:3, 60:24,
117:17	117:14, 117:15, 117:16,	ſ	83:6, 83:13, 86:25
1980 [1] - 17:13	117:17, 117:18, 117:19,	8th [1] - 73:9	answered [5] - 41.6, 41:13
19th [2] - 29:25, 91:25	117:20, 117:21, 117:22,		60:23, 63:4, 64:20
<b>1st</b> [1] ~ 68:25	117:23, 117:24, 118:2	9	answering [2] - 5:12, 81:1
	<b>30</b> [3] - 42:15, 97:24,		answers [1] - 4:18
	117:12	9[1] - 25:20	apartments [1] - 9:9

apologize [3] - 81:18, 81:19, 104:12 appreciated [1] - 80:17 approaching [1] ~ 22:6 April [3] - 1:14, 115:22, argumentative [2] - 52:25. 100:17 arm (1) - 53:10 Arms [41] - 7:20, 7:23, 8:14, 9:2, 9:3, 9:15, 9:17, 9:21, 10:16, 11:7, 11:9, 12:6, 17:7, 19:12, 20:3, 20:8, 21:11, 25:23, 26:3, 26:22, 27:10, 27:13, 28:5, 40:20, 51:4, 51:14, 52:5, 77:2, 96:4, 96:9, 96:16, 96:19, 97:4, 101:20, 101:22, 102:2, 102:4, 104:6, 112:15, 112:21, 116:4 ARMS [1] - 1:7 Arms-Delamater [4] - 7:20, 7:23, 9:21, 10:16 ARMS-DELAMATER[1] arose [2] - 28:4, 43:16 assault [4] - 53:8, 57:14, 69:21, 70:3 assaulted [6] - 44:6, 44:8, 52:7, 52:10, 53:5, 70:22 assaulting [3] - 44:16, 44:25, 45:3 assigned [1] - 107:6 assistant [4] - 22:19, 22:20, 22:24, 22:25 associated [1] - 21:9 assume [4] - 6:7, 56:22, 73:15, 73:20 assumption [1] - 19:11 assumptions [1] - 73:19 attached [3] - 85:6, 91:2, 114:12 Attached [2] - 90:18, 117:24 attending [1] - 21:18 attention [6] - 21:3, 33:9, 34:5, 70:22, 97:22, 108:14 attorney [11] - 38:12, 54:16, 54:23, 54:25, 68:8, 69:4, 69:5, 69:6, 75:16, 75:17, 98:8 attorney/client [3] - 39:20, 39:23, 54:21 Attorneys [2] - 2:7, 2:12 attorneys [2] - 3:3, 48:15 audit [1] - 96:13 auditor [4] - 20:12, 20:14, 20:17, 104:17 aware [5] ~ 21:6, 23:20, 24:6, 45:10, 97:19

В

Bancroft [1] - 4:12 banquet [2] - 102:5, 102:7 BANTA[7] - 1:8, 1:9, 1:9, 1:18, 114:7, 114:20, 116:20 Banta [29] - 4:12, 4:14, 6:11, 6:12, 6:14, 6:23, 7:2, 7:7, 7:9, 7:19, 8:18, 10:3, 10:12, 10:24, 11:3, 11:11, 11:15, 11:17, 17:2, 17:12, 25:2, 28:15, 31:14, 31:20, 38:3, 38:21, 74:15, 100:6, 111:14 Bar [2] ~ 41:25, 42:3 based [5] - 14:9, 58:20, 77:16, 98:21, 113:11 basis [3] - 14:18, 63:2, 63:3 became [5] - 12:21, 13:25, 17:16, 21:5, 24:5 become [1] - 17:12 becoming [2] - 12:5, 52:25 Beekman [41] - 7:20, 7:23, 8:14, 9:2, 9:3, 9:14, 9:17, 9:20, 10:16, 11:7, 11:9, 12:6, 17:7, 19:12, 20:3, 20:8, 21:11, 25:23, 26:3, 26:22, 27:10, 27:12, 28:4, 40:20, 51:4, 51:14, 52:5, 77:2, 96:3, 96:9, 96:16, 96:18, 97:4, 101:20, 101:22, 102:2. 102:3, 104:6, 112:15, 112:21, 116:4 BEEKMAN [1] - 1:7 been.. [1] - 13:7 BEFORE [1] - 1:17 beginning [2] - 77:7, 77:12 begun [1] - 3:14 below [1] - 88:14 Berkowitz [4] - 17:23, 31:18, 67:15, 98:15 between [20] - 3:3, 40:12, 40:14, 43:17, 44:2, 47:15, 47:21, 49:8, 50:23, 69:2, 81:14, 87:3, 87:5, 87:6, 92:18, 96:12, 96:17, 97:17, 105:17, 105:23 beyond [1] - 15:13 bias [1] - 33:25 big [1] - 32:17 bill [1] - 58:11 bill., [1] - 58:12 blood [1] - 115:18 Blotter [2] - 109:12, 118:4 bookkeeper [2] - 22:19, bought[1] - 9:20

break [7] - 5:17, 5:20,

50:20, 57:24, 87:21, 109:9,

110:13 breakfast [1] - 20:20 brief [1] - 109:9 brother[1] - 67:17 brother-in-law [1] - 67:17 brought[1] - 70:21 bruises [2] - 53:13, 53:17 bruising [2] - 53:15, 53:20 Buffalo [2] - 25:15, 25:20 bullied [1] - 33:22 bunch [2] - 88:22, 94:3 business [3] - 6:25, 37:2, businesses [1] - 15:20 but.. [3] - 21:13, 23:16, 49:18 BY [6] - 2:8, 2:13, 4:9, 88:3, 109:14, 117:4

C

C-o-l-m-a-n [1] - 58:13 calendar [1] - 19:7 camera [5] - 42:8, 42:21, 42:23, 43:9, 43:12 cameras [2] - 42:9, 42:10 capped [1] - 34:13 captioned [2] - 1:18, 116:8 car [2] - 86:20 case [2] - 111:24, 112:3 cases [1] - 111:7 Casey [2] - 46:23, 47:2 Catharine [2] - 1:13, 2:7 Cathy [2] - 17:5, 17:11 cell [1] - 40:10 certain [2] - 54:6, 95:19 certainly [4] - 15:9, 39:18, 39:25, 75:2 certification [1] - 3:20 certify [3] - 114:10, 115:9, 115:16 chance [2] - 30:18, 71:15 change [2] ~ 5:24, 113:7 charged [3] - 69:21, 69:25, charges [7] - 44:9, 70:8, 70:11, 70:15, 70:17, 71:2, check [1] - 9:8 checking [2] - 20:23 Chelsea [1] - 21:10 Chicago [2] - 41:25, 42:3 Choinsky [2] - 51:23, 112:11 circumstances [1] - 13:15 Civ [1] - 1:6 classes [1] - 94:4 clear[2] - 78:20, 107:24 clearly [1] - 45:3

clerk [1] - 24:17 Clinton [2] - 21:10, 22:5 Cogshall [1] - 13:7 Colette [1] - 22:16 collective [2] - 12:17, 12:18 collectively [2] - 14:13, 73.7 college [2] - 93:22, 93:24 Colman [4] - 58:11, 58:13, 58:18, 59:3 coming (1) - 22:13 commended [1] - 21:6 communicate [9] - 14:16, 25:8, 25:16, 27:18, 28:18, 64:22, 94:23, 100:21, 107:15 communicated [8] - 18:2, 45:24, 46:3, 47:25, 64:18, 64:24, 95:8, 101:3 communicating [4] - 36:5, 60:20, 64:17, 82:21 communication [4] - 44:18, 57:25, 64:4, 87:2 communications [21] -21:24, 25:25, 27:23, 39:20, 46:8, 46:18, 55:16, 64:9, 67:21, 68:3, 73:24, 74:5, 76:23, 76:25, 101:7, 105:11, 106:21, 112:10, 112:25, 118:10 companies [2] ~ 7:9, 16:12 company [7] - 8:22, 9:7, 9:23, 16:13, 16:14, 42:6, 49:25 compare [1] - 88:23 complainant [2] - 109:22, 110:2 complained [1] - 33:21 complaint [1] - 49:24 compound [2] - 56:9, 56:16 concern [1] - 33:18 concerned [2] - 57:24, 58:21 concerning [37] - 17:21, 20:25, 22:2, 22:6, 27:24, 28:9, 28:25, 30:5, 31:7, 31:20, 32:12, 32:16, 32:23, 35:4, 35:7, 35:11, 40:20, 41:3, 41:15, 59:23, 59:24, 63:14, 64:11, 67:23, 68:4, 73:25, 75:6, 79:24, 93:8, 93:20, 94:10, 94:24, 100:24, 101:4, 101:8, 112:10, 118:9 concerns [4] - 13:20, 28:3, 35:17, 94:10 concluded [1] - 98:22 condition [1] - 12:3 conducted [1] - 35:16 confirm [3] - 45:12, 53:20, 85:3 confirmed [1] - 83:2

71:5

denying [2] - 44:24, 45:2

deposed [2] - 111:6, 111:21

Department [2] - 59:24,

connection [6] - 61:10, 101:5, 106:21, 107:6, 111:11, 118:11 construction [1] - 16:17 consult[1] - 17:6 consulted [1] ~ 48:15 consulting [2] - 16:20, 98:8 contained [1] - 23:25 contemplating [2] - 70:12, 70:25 Continued [1] - 117:25 **CONTINUED** [2] - 88:3, conversation [2] - 54:3. 100:13 conversations [12] - 18:12, 18:14, 18:25, 38:23, 54:22, 59:17, 63:9, 81:14, 99:2, 105:3, 105:13, 106:18 copied [2] - 67:14, 68:17 Copies [2] - 90:18, 117:24 copies [6] - 75:22, 75:25, 76:2, 76:10, 77:21, 77:25 copy [1] - 67:10 Cornell [2] - 94:15, 94:20 corporations [3] - 7:14, 7:17, 38:2 correct[11] - 8:23, 17:18, 22:11, 25:20, 25:23, 74:19, 94:20, 109:2, 110:16, 113:13, 114:10 CORRECTION (1) - 116:2 corrections [2] - 114:11, 116:5 correspondence [1] -108:2 counsel [1] - 65:10 counselor[2] - 62:19, 79:4 COUNTY [2] - 114:4, 115:4 course [9] - 5:5, 5:12, 5:16, 5:22, 30:20, 93:25, 94:5, 94:24, 95:13 course.. [1] - 35:3 courses [2] - 94:10, 94:18 COURT [1] - 1:2 court [12] - 4:21, 26:15, 30:14, 67:3, 69:15, 70:2, 71:20, 72:5, 79:19, 84:5, 90:16, 97:7 Court [1] - 1:19 criminal [4] - 44:9, 70:11, 70:14, 71:2 criminally [3] - 69:21, 70:2, 70:3 cumulatively [1] - 7:25 currencies [1] - 111:19 customers [2] - 20:19, 21:25 Cynthia [94] - 8:7, 8:25, 15:7, 17:16, 18:9, 18:14,

19:16, 22:10, 24:4, 25:3, 26:4, 26:7, 26:21, 28:18, 30:10, 32:10, 32:23, 33:19, 34:22, 35:4, 35:7, 39:11, 40:14, 43:17, 43:21, 43:23, 44:16, 44:25, 45:12, 45:25, 46:2, 46:12, 46:21, 47:3, 47:6, 47:16, 47:21, 47:25, 48:2, 48:6, 48:18, 49:9, 49:10, 50:23, 51:11, 54:10, 54:18, 55:19, 63:7, 63:15, 64:23, 68:5, 75:7, 75:21, 76:7, 76:14, 76:17, 76:21, 77:20, 77:22, 77:25, 78:6, 79:16, 79:23, 80:22, 82:2, 83:9, 86:18, 87:3, 87:5, 87:6, 87:14, 88:21, 90:7, 91:16, 92:19, 93:9, 93:16, 94:23, 95:9, 95:20, 95:22, 96:8, 99:10, 100:22, 101:4, 101:8, 105:17, 105:23, 107:5, 107:15, 118:9 CYNTHIA [1] - 1:8 Cynthia's [4] - 45:4, 45:6, 81:4, 99:18

#### D

dad [11] - 37:22, 48:22, 74:18, 76:20, 87:7, 106:3, 110:6, 110:7, 110:11, 110:18, 111:2 date [5] - 19:5, 50:19, 74:6, 85:23, 110:10 dated [6] - 33:10, 50:17, 50:18, 72:15, 88:14, 97:24 dates [3] - 13:14, 49:18, 60:15 dating [1] - 33:25 day-to-day [1] - 14:18 days [3] - 42:15, 65:4, 65:7 deceased [1] - 10:3 decided [2] - 70:7, 70:9 decision [7] - 12:14, 108:17, 108:20, 108:24, 109:4, 110:14, 111:3 Defendant [1] - 1:18 defendants [2] - 106:22, 118:11 Defendants [2] - 1:11, 2:12 Delamater [6] - 7:20, 7:23, 8:3, 9:21, 10:16, 26:23 DELAMATER [1] - 1:7 deleted [1] - 83:21 deletions [1] - 116:6 demand [1] - 74:25 denied [5] - 44:12, 44:15, 44:17, 84:3, 84:4 deny [1] - 83:24

deposition [11] - 3:12, 3:21, 5:5, 5:17, 5:22, 38:18, 65:11, 65:14, 98:14, 112:5, 114:9 describe [1] - 11:19 desk [5] - 20:18, 22:22, 22:23, 24:16, 51:19 details [1] - 38:13 determined [2] - 104:7, 104:13 DeWitt [1] - 22:16 diagnosed [1] - 59:4 different [3] - 7:17, 16:13, 16:14 direct [7] - 17:5, 33:9, 34:5, 38:21, 54:20, 97:22, 108:14 directed [2] - 54:15, 54:17 directing [2] - 13:19, 39:15 directly [3] - 25:3, 100:21, 101:3 discrimination [5] - 93:20, 94:6, 94:11, 94:19, 112:2 discuss [5] - 19:16, 24:23, 34:21, 54:21, 105:22 discussed [6] - 28:20, 37:22, 38:11, 73:13, 104:18, 104:22 discussed.. [1] - 73:4 discussing [1] - 104:25 discussion [8] - 26:11, 36:3, 52:14, 75:10, 102:6, 105:12, 105:16, 105:21 discussions [11] - 18:6, 18:9, 48:14, 99:9, 99:24, 99:25, 100:3, 100:14, 103:14, 103:16, 105:15 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:2, 1:2 division [3] - 49:23, 50:8, 65:16 doctor [5] - 53:15, 55:20, 55:23, 56:3, 58:7 document [16] - 23:14, 23:18, 29:21, 29:23, 30:14, 34:6, 52:22, 53:3, 66:10, 66:12, 72:13, 84:10, 88:6, 89:10, 89:20, 103:4 Document 181 - 67:3, 69:15, 71:20, 72:5, 79:19, 84:5, 90:16, 97:7 DOCUMENT/DATA [3] -75:8, 106:25, 118:6 documentation [5] - 49:11. 49:14, 49:20, 50:7, 55:15

documenting [1] - 95:8

documents [17] - 33:2,

33:3, 34:17, 35:19, 39:14,

39:18, 39:21, 39:23, 40:4,

40:5, 40:7, 40:8, 40:9, 40:23, 41:9, 92:25, 93:3 don't.. [2] - 36:15, 106:15 done [6] - 5:13, 14:13, 30:21, 33:14, 61:14, 81:22 door[1] - 26:10 down [10] - 45:25, 46:2, 46:4, 50:21, 57:25, 76:14, 76:16, 77:10, 87:12, 95:17 Dr[2] - 58:18, 59:3 drafted [1] - 49:5 DRITA[2] - 2:6, 2:8 Drita [1] - 4:15 Drohan [3] - 68:14, 69:7, DROHAN [1] - 2:11 duly [1] - 4:3 during [14] - 5:5, 5:11, 5:16, 5:22, 8:3, 16:6, 21:21, 50:12, 59:11, 59:22, 76:22, 76:25, 94:23, 95:12 duties [1] - 20:17

# E

early [1] - 77:18 easier[1] - 104:16 EDWARD [4] - 1:17, 114:7, 114:20, 116:20 Edward [1] - 4:12 either [7] - 5:23, 13:19, 13:24, 66:13, 73:24, 87:6, 103:4 eleven [1] - 7:8 email [42] - 29:15, 31:2, 33:10, 34:9, 44:19, 45:25, 47:25, 64:11, 64:18, 66:25, 67:11, 67:23, 68:9, 68:19, 72:15, 74:17, 74:18, 74:22, 80:13, 82:15, 82:21, 82:23, 84:22, 85:6, 86:11, 86:16, 88:14, 89:13, 90:8, 91:6, 97:23, 105:6, 105:8, 107:5, 107:9, 107:12, 107:16, 107:18, 107:25, 108:3 Email [30] - 29:18, 30:16, 66:6, 67:5, 69:17, 71:22, 72:7, 79:21, 84:7, 85:18, 87:25, 89:8, 89:16, 90:18, 97:9, 117:11, 117:12, 117:13, 117:14, 117:15, 117:16, 117:17, 117:18, 117:19, 117:20, 117:21, 117:22, 117:23, 117:24, emailed [2] - 44:21, 91:6 emails [26] - 32;11, 40:11, 40:12, 40:13, 40:14, 46:9, 65:9, 73:3, 74:11, 74:14,

74:21, 75:5, 79:24, 80:14, 80:20, 81:2, 81:6, 83:9, 83:12, 83:19, 92:21, 92:23, 105:25, 106:4, 107:13, 118:8 emergency [1] - 96:17 employed [11] - 6:10, 7:6, 7:23, 8:3, 9:14, 17:12, 20:4, 20:8, 112:14, 112:17, 112:22 employee [11] - 20:2, 24:20, 36:15, 38:2, 63:5, 98:20, 99:14, 99:17, 99:18, 99:19, 99:23 employees [17] - 7:16, 7:22, 8:2, 9:14, 15:8, 24:11, 24:14, 24:16, 24:20, 27:14, 46:18, 62:14, 63:8, 63:12, 63:13, 103:8 employment [2] - 108:17, 110:15 ended [1] - 108:8 engaging [1] - 33:19 entities [2] - 7:13, 111:21 entitled [4] - 40:2, 40:4, 63:2, 115:12 entity [4] - 9:12, 9:20, 27:2, 27:9 Entry [2] - 109:12, 118:4 Eric [1] - 13:9 ESQ[2] - 2:8, 2:13 essential [1] - 104:14 events [1] - 44:22 exact [7] - 9:12, 13:14, 49:18, 50:19, 54:2, 60:15, 74:6 EXAMINATION [6] - 1:17, 4:9, 88:3, 109:14, 117:3, examination [1] - 3:14 examine [1] - 73:7 examined [2] - 4:5, 73:4 except [1] - 3:5 exchanged [1] - 40:13 exchanges [1] - 92:18 exercising [1] - 33:20 Exhibit [42] - 23:5, 23:8, 23:12, 23:22, 24:2, 29:17, 30:15, 31:10, 33:7, 66:5, 67:4, 69:16, 71:10, 71:21, 71:25, 72:6, 72:10, 73:8, 74:2, 77:11, 77:15, 77:17, 79:20, 80:8, 84:6, 85:17, 85:21, 87:24, 88:5, 89:7, 89:15, 89:19, 90:17, 90:22, 91:10, 92:12, 92:15, 97:8, 108:11, 108:15, 109:11, 109:15 exhibit [7] - 29:20, 30:23, 66:8, 67:7, 69:19, 71:16, 84:12 Exhibits [1] - 93:4

experience [1] - 12:10 express [1] - 28:3 expressed [1] - 33:18 extensively [1] - 78:12 extortion [3] - 85:7, 85:11, 90:7

# F

face [2] - 46:4

Facebook[1] - 108:4 facilities [1] - 12:3 facility [2] - 102:5, 102:7 fact [8] - 13:12, 17:25, 19:15, 26:3, 45:13, 47:2, 86:8, 95:17 factor[1] - 104:4 facts [1] - 64:11 fair[7] - 5:9, 6:7, 54:4, 55:17, 63:12, 64:2, 73:17 false [2] - 23:18, 23:25 family [2] - 6:25, 70:2 far[3] - 6:4, 40:16, 70:13 father [33] - 9:24, 12:19, 14:13, 18:11, 28:15, 29:5, 35:10, 36:9, 36:21, 36:23, 36:24, 37:7, 37:20, 39:11, 41:4, 45:18, 45:19, 48:4, 51:6, 59:17, 60:20, 68:12, 68:19, 69:3, 73:6, 73:25, 86:5, 87:8, 98:3, 105:11, 105:15, 105:21, 108:18 fax [1] - 89:22 file [3] - 99:17, 99:18, 99:20 filed [1] - 49:23 files [6] - 98:20, 99:13, 99:14, 99:15, 99:23 filing [2] - 3:20, 90:6 fine [2] - 39:22, 65:13 finish [4] - 5:7, 81:16, 104:11, 107:22 finished [1] ~ 67:25 firm [1] - 69:11 first (30) - 5:8, 5:18, 5:19, 18:24, 49:19, 50:22, 55:22, 56:5, 56:8, 57:23, 58:4, 58:5, 58:18, 58:23, 59:2, 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 59:14, 64:22, 70:21, 78:6, 78:10, 78:13, 85:7, 86:8, 86:10, 97:23, 101:18 Fisher [25] - 10:12, 10:19, 12:20, 29:8, 41:6, 41:7, 61:3, 67:10, 67:17, 69:3, 73:6, 73:25, 74:11, 74:16, 75:10, 75:14, 75:19, 98:3, 98:14, 100:11, 103:7, 104:23, 105:6, 110:20, 111:3 Fisher's [1] - 103:17

Fishman [1] - 41:4 five [2] - 27:16, 95:3 fixed [1] - 111:19 followed [1] - 26:10 following [1] - 116:5 follows [1] - 4:6 foregoing (1) - 114:8 forget [4] - 54:24, 81:11, 86:22, 87:2 form [5] - 3:5, 27:6, 32:2, 58:6, 83:5 former [2] - 20:2, 36:15 forms [1] - 59;7 forth [2] - 20:21, 105:25 forthcoming [1] - 15:24 forward [7] - 32:10, 74:10, 74:21, 75:14, 75:18, 85:4, 106:4 forwarded [3] - 74:14, 75:9, 75:15 Friday [1] - 66:14 friend [2] - 58:8, 58:9 front[5] - 20:18, 22:22, 22:23, 33:23, 84:10 full [1] - 6:12 fully [2] - 5:14, 5:19 function [2] - 14:3, 14:14 functions [1] - 26:24 FURTHER [2] - 3:11, 3:19

#### G

gather [1] - 99:16 gathered [3] - 77:19, 77:20, 77:22 general [30] ~ 8:12, 12:5, 12:15, 12:21, 13:21, 13:25, 14:14, 16:6, 17:16, 18:7, 22:9, 24:5, 25:22, 26:2, 26:8, 26:22, 33:23, 36:16, 37:15, 51:15, 95:22, 96:2, 96:16, 104:8, 104:14, 105:12, 105:15, 105:16, 105:20, 107:7 generally [2] - 34:24, 103:25 GEORGE [6] - 1:9, 1:9, 1:17, 114:7, 114:20, 116:20 George [4] - 4:12, 29:5, 74:15, 110:4 given [3] - 7:25, 61:20, 104:15 graduated [1] - 93:24 Grand [1] - 36:17 greatly [1] - 80:16 Grill [2] - 41:25, 42:3 group [1] - 12:7 guess [11] - 9:11, 12:8, 42:15, 42:16, 46:14, 50:2,

50:3, 58:19, 87:16, 87:17, 90:4
guessing [1] - 43:24
guidance [1] - 7:5
guide [1] - 7:10
guy [3] - 42:8, 42:21, 43:12

#### Н

half [1] - 39:9 hand [1] - 115:22 handbook [15] - 15:10, 15:12, 15:19, 15:23, 15:24, 16:4, 16:11, 16:15, 16:23, 17:3, 17:6, 17:22, 18:3, 19:16, 19:20 handbooks [2] - 15:21, handed [10] - 30:14, 67:3, 69:15, 71:20, 72:5, 79:19, 84:5, 90:16, 92:25, 97:7 Handing [1] - 89:14 handled [1] - 21:20 happy [1] - 5:25 hard [2] - 77:21, 77:25 harmed [1] - 52:8 head [1] - 4:22 hear [3] - 24:9, 24:10, 24:15 heard [3] - 5:2, 24:20, 32:4 held [5] - 1:19, 21:24, 87:23, 109:10, 116:8 HEREBY [1] - 3:2 hereby [2] - 114:10, 115:8 herein [1] - 114:8 hereto [1] - 3:4 hereunto [1] - 115:21 hid [1] - 61:23 hired [1] - 18:24 hm [1] - 4:22 hm-hmms [1] - 4:22 hmm [1] - 4:22 hmms [1] - 4:22 hold [1] - 67:25 home [1] - 13:4 Hopewell [1] - 2:13 Hotel [1] - 36:17 hotel [7] - 12:10, 21:16, 21:19, 94:14, 95:23, 96:2, 102:2 hotels [7] - 6:18, 6:22, 7:3, 7:12, 7:19, 37:2, 37:3 hour [2] - 39:9 hours [2] - 65:6, 96:5 house [9] - 13:3, 44:7, 44:11, 44:16, 45:4, 45:6, 51:12, 61:24, 62:4 HR [1] - 16:20 human [4] - 49:23, 50:8,

65:16, 94:3

hurt [1] - 53:9 Hyde [1] - 71:5

I.. [1] - 70:13 idea [3] - 25:6, 65:5, 82:9 identification [21] - 23:7, 23:10, 29:19, 30:17, 33:8, 66:7, 67:6, 69:18, 71:23, 72:8, 79:22, 84:8, 85:19, 88:2, 89:9, 89:17, 89:19, 90:20, 97:10, 108:13, 109:13 identified [1] - 110:5 immediately [2] - 12:5, 59:17 impact [1] - 24:12 IN [1] - 115:21 inaccurate [2] - 23:18, 23:25 INC [2] - 1:7, 1:8 incident [11] - 40:20, 47:15, 47:20, 49:8, 50:23, 51:12, 56:23, 65:21, 85:24, 96:22, 97:17 incidentally [3] - 65:15, 74:10, 97:11 income [1] - 111:19 indicated [5] - 31:6, 31:13, 74:17, 78:5, 110:13 individually [1] - 6:23 Individually [3] - 1:8, 1:9, 1:9 individuals [6] - 7:6, 8:7, 67:14, 67:22, 68:4, 106:11 industry [2] - 12:10, 12:12 information [16] - 13:4, 34:13, 34:14, 42:11, 42:14, 42:17, 42:21, 54:6, 77:19, 77:20, 77:22, 88:9, 88:10, 92:23, 103:15, 104:3 initial [2] - 57:13, 85:5 INN [1] - 1:7 Inn [11] - 8:20, 8:21, 9:2, 9:9, 9:10, 9:15, 26:24, 27:3, 27:5, 27:10, 27:15 inside [1] - 86:20 installed [2] - 43:2, 43:4 instance [1] - 96:8 instruct [2] - 38:21, 73:19 insulted #1 - 33:23 interact [1] - 16:22 interacted [2] - 17:2, 17:20 interactions [4] - 35:12, 62:7, 63:10, 93:10 interest [1] - 9:23 interested [7] - 57:6, 70:23, 73:15, 73:16, 76:18, 87:13,

115:19

interests [2] - 10:8, 11:6 interrupt [2] - 5:11, 81:19 interrupted [1] - 81:20 interruption [1] - 26:10 interview [9] - 45:23, 46:3, 46:13, 46:21, 50:12, 50:20, 99:6, 100:18, 103:7 interviewed [16] - 26:7. 26:18, 45:21, 45:23, 46:11, 46:16, 47:24, 48:2, 48:5, 48:17, 48:18, 48:20, 65:17, 65:18, 65:24, 76:14 interviews [2] - 46:19, 99:8 intimate [7] - 24:7, 25:4, 26:4, 45:9, 45:13, 50:11, 108:7 investigate [1] - 47:20 investigating [2] - 45:20, 47:15 investigation [23] - 35:16, 45:16, 48:13, 48:16, 50:21, 75:23, 76:13, 80:12, 91:22, 94:24, 95:13, 95:16, 98:11, 98:18, 98:23, 98:25, 100:9, 100:12, 100:15, 101:4, 103:13, 104:4, 104:19 investigations [1] - 104:23 invitation [1] - 84:17 involved [2] - 99:2, 99:12 involving [1] - 40:21 IS [3] - 3:2, 3:11, 3:19

J

Jane [2] - 10:12, 11:2
Jeremy [1] - 10:3
Jim [2] - 68:13, 69:7
Jim.. [1] - 69:8
Jose [10] - 28:10, 29:2,
30:5, 31:8, 31:23, 32:6,
32:12, 32:16, 40:21, 112:19
JR [6] - 1:9, 1:18, 114:7,
114:20, 116:20
Jr [2] - 4:12, 74:15
Junction [1] - 2:13
junior [1] - 110:8

is.. [3] - 10:2, 31:17, 58:10

issue [3] - 28:8, 31:8, 105:8

issues [6] - 20:25, 27:24,

28:4, 34:21, 43:16, 44:2

IT [3] - 3:2, 3:11, 3:19

Κ

Kangas [5] - 36:14, 36:25, 37:20, 37:23, 37:25 keep [2] - 61:15, 76:17 Keith [3] - 25:9, 25:11, 25:12
keys [3] - 61:20, 61:23,
61:24
kind [4] - 10:5, 36:24, 56:2,
93:19
knowledge [6] - 12:15,
13:6, 14:10, 69:20, 98:22,
100:19

L

Labor[1] - 59:24 lapsed [1] - 65:4 large [1] - 16:17 last [7] - 31:17, 33:9, 34:6. 38:25, 39:3, 39:5, 43:14 LAURA [1] - 2:13 law [2] - 67:17, 69:11 LAW [1] - 2:6 lawsuit [2] - 59:23, 111:12 learn [10] - 28:12, 33:17, 34:14, 40:18, 43:19, 43:22, 44:15, 49:7, 87:13, 87:18 learned [5] - 28:8, 43:16, 43:23, 44:12, 50:22 learning [5] - 30:3, 30:7, 30:9, 31:23, 32:6 least [1] - 35:3 leave [2] - 17:14, 57:5 leaving [1] - 31:21 left [1] - 18:8 letter ist - 57:4, 78:3, 78:14 Letter [6] - 23:6, 23:9, 108:12, 117:9, 117:10, 118:3 letters [1] - 59:7 library [1] - 19:13 life [1] - 32:17 limit [1] - 62:25 limited [1] - 8:14 limiting [3] - 57:7, 57:10, 63:11 Linda [1] - 13:7 LINE [3] - 117:3, 117:7, 118:6 LINE(S[1] - 116:10 lines [1] - 112:4 listen [1] - 62:20 LLP [1] - 2:11 Lo [3] - 17:5, 17:11, 17:17 located [1] - 41:24 location [2] - 61:23, 102:6 locations [1] ~ 7:17 log [1] - 61:15 look[7] - 33:8, 66:18, 77:16, 91:2, 92:21, 99:15, 99:20 looking [12] - 4:17, 31:9,

35:16, 77:11, 77:15, 77:16,

83:10, 83:14, 83:15, 93:3,

97:11, 99:22 looks [3] - 77:8, 77:9, 77:12 lost [1] - 59:23 LWONG [1] - 2:14 LWONG-PAN@TDWPM. COM [1] - 2:14

M

mails [1] - 31:21 Main [4] - 41:20, 68:23, 74:8, 100:5 maintain [2] - 41:19, 42:4 maintains [1] - 42:6 maintenance [1] - 112:20 manage [1] - 9:4 management [7] - 10:16, 11:3, 11:10, 12:10, 33:21, 98:4, 98:6 MANAGEMENT [1] - 1:8 Management [21] - 6:11, 6:13, 6:14, 6:24, 7:2, 7:7, 7:10, 7:19, 8:18, 10:24, 11:4, 11:11, 11:17, 17:2, 17:12, 28:16, 31:14, 31:20, 38:3, 100:6, 111:14 manager [30] - 8:12, 12:6, 12:16, 12:21, 13:5, 13:21, 13:25, 14:14, 14:22, 16:7, 17:4, 17:17, 17:24, 18:7, 22:10, 23:2, 24:5, 24:17, 25:22, 26:2, 26:8, 26:22, 33:23, 36:17, 59:18, 95:22, 96:2, 96:17, 104:15, 107:7 manager's [1] - 51:16 managers [3] - 12:23, 12:24, 37:15 March [13] - 27:17, 28:7, 28:25, 32:23, 33:11, 34:22, 35:8, 35:17, 41:4, 66:13, 66:14, 66:16, 97:15 Maria [3] - 18:7, 22:9, 97:2 mark [5] - 23:3, 66:3, 85:16, 89:6, 108:10 marked [28] - 23:6, 23:9, 23:11, 29:18, 30:16, 33:7, 66:6, 67:5, 69:17, 71:22, 71:24, 72:7, 72:9, 79:21, 80:7, 84:7, 85:18, 87:25, 88:4, 89:8, 89:16, 89:18, 90:19, 90:21, 92:22, 97:9, 108:12, 109:12 marriage [1] - 115:18 married [1] - 10:13 matter[4] - 1:19, 115:12, 115:19, 116:8 Maureen [3] - 36:14, 37:20,

MAYLE[1] - 2:11

27:7, 32:2, 32:8, 34:2, 41:5,

mean [6] - 6:23, 27:7, 48:12, 81:18, 102:9, 103:22 means [1] - 101:24 meet [6] - 19:12, 19:17, 38:14, 39:3, 39:5, 85:13 meeting [46] - 28:24, 29:4, 29:10, 29:24, 32:15, 32:17, 32:22, 34:20, 34:24, 35:7, 39:8, 41:3, 52:10, 52:12, 55:5, 55:19, 55:22, 55:23, 56:5, 56:8, 56:12, 57:6, 57:11, 57:13, 57:17, 57:21, 57:23, 58:18, 58:23, 59:2, 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 59:14, 60:21, 61:3, 68:9, 68:10, 68:16, 68:20, 69:2, 69:13, 78:6, 78:11, 78:13 meetings [1] - 41:16 memory [13] - 29:13, 29:16, 29:24, 30:25, 31:11, 33:3, 33:4, 34:18, 35:20, 40:24, 41:10, 106:14, 109:16 mental [1] - 57:5 mentioned [3] - 11:13, 17:11, 46:11 message [4] - 66:18, 67:11, 85:10, 108:3 messages [29] - 75:22, 76:2, 76:5, 79:9, 79:16, 79:24, 80:14, 80:20, 81:2, 81:4, 81:9, 81:25, 82:11, 83:16, 83:17, 83:22, 83:25, 84:19, 85:4, 88:12, 88:18, 88:20, 88:24, 91:3, 91:6, 102:19, 105:2, 105:24, 107:10 Messages [2] - 90:19, 117:24 met [6] - 19:15, 31:7, 35:2, 38:11, 77:12, 77:17 method [1] - 64:4 middle [2] - 34:9, 96:9 midnight [2] - 96:18, 97:4 might[1] - 80:15 mine [1] - 58:8 misstatement [3] - 64:16, 75:13, 78:19 misstates [1] - 27:7 mistaken [1] - 93:5 mom [1] - 110:22

mom's [1] - 74:17

moment [1] - 104:16

months [3] - 38:16, 43:5,

morning [5] - 4:14, 20:21,

mother 171 - 9:24, 11:13,

12:19, 24:24, 25:3, 67:19,

Monday [1] - 33:11

73:14, 85:2, 96:6

68:15

MS [108] - 4:9, 7:24, 8:4, 9:11, 15:25, 16:2, 23:3, 23:19, 25:7, 26:14, 27:6, 30:18, 30:20, 32:2, 32:8, 34:2, 38:20, 38:24, 39:15, 39:17, 39:22, 39:25, 41:5, 41:12, 42:22, 44:14, 45:17, 46:6, 46:15, 47:11, 47:17, 47:22, 52:3, 52:24, 53:16, 54:20, 55:2, 56:9, 56:11, 56:16, 56:18, 58:6, 60:22, 61:5, 62:16, 62:18, 62:20, 62:23, 62:24, 64:3, 64:14, 64:16, 65:8, 65:10, 65:13, 66:3, 69:4, 71:14, 72:18, 72:19, 72:20, 72:22, 74:13, 74:25, 75:4, 75:12, 76:7, 76:8, 77:4, 77:6, 78:8, 78:18, 78:21, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:4, 81:16, 83:5, 83:13, 84:9, 85:16, 86:15, 86:17, 86:23, 87:20, 87:22, 88:3, 89:6, 89:14, 91:8, 91:9, 91:18, 91:19, 98:13, 100:16, 104:11, 106:16, 106:19, 107:2, 107:21, 107:23, 108:10, 109:8, 109:14, 113:4, 113:15, 117:4

#### Ν

name [20] - 4:10, 4:14, 6:12, 9:7, 9:13, 10:2, 10:11, 10:18, 11:14, 19:22, 27:2, 28:9, 31:17, 41:6, 43:13, 43:14, 54:24, 58:9, 112:10, 112:12 named [2] - 75:6, 118:8 nature [3] - 37:10, 52:22, 108:4 neck [3] - 53:11, 53:12, 53:13 need [8] - 4:20, 5:23, 52:20, 52:21, 52:22, 63:22, 83:11 needed [1] - 47:13 needs [3] - 20:19, 84:9, 104:15 never [4] - 65:24, 84:3, 84:4, 90:2 **NEW** [3] - 1:2, 114:2, 115:2 New [8] - 1:14, 1:21, 2:8, 2:13, 4:5, 4:13, 37:15, 115:8 next [6] - 32:18, 61:7, 61:9, 73:14, 102:21, 117:25 Nicaj [1] - 4:15 NICAJ [42] - 2:6, 2:8, 4:9, 16:2, 23:3, 26:14, 30:20, 38:24, 39:17, 39:25, 56:11, 56:18, 62:18, 62:23, 65:10, 66:3, 72:19, 72:22, 74:13,

75:4, 76:8, 77:6, 78:21. 78:25, 79:4, 85:16, 86:17, 87:20, 88:3, 89:6, 89:14, 91:9, 91:19, 98:13, 106:19, 107:23, 108:10, 109:8, 109:14, 113:4, 113:15, 117:4 night [5] - 20:12, 20:14, 20:17, 96:10, 104:17 NINA [1] - 115:24 Nina [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 115:7 non [1] - 104:14 non-essential [1] - 104:14 Notary [5] - 1:20, 3:13, 4:4, 115:7 NOTARY [1] - 115:24 note [1] - 52:16 noted [2] - 113:16, 116:6 notes [3] - 41:15, 47:8, 55:13 nothing [4] - 105:4, 113:4, 113:15 November [14] - 18:22, 68:25, 72:16, 73:9, 73:13, 77:8, 77:13, 77:18, 88:15, 91:7, 91:13, 91:25, 97:24, 109:17 number [2] - 20:9, 67:14

# C

O'Connor [86] - 2:16, 4:16, 19:23, 20:4, 21:6, 24:8, 25:5, 26:4, 27:18, 28:9, 28:14, 28:25, 29:25, 30:4, 31:7, 31:20, 31:25, 32:11, 32:15, 32:23, 33:18, 34:21, 35:7, 35:11, 35:23, 36:6, 36:10, 40:19, 41:17, 43:17, 46:3, 46:12, 46:13, 47:2, 47:15, 47:21, 49:8, 49:23, 50:12, 50:23, 53:6, 54:5, 54:17, 59:22, 61:20, 62:3, 62:8, 63:7, 63:14, 64:10, 64:23, 67:12, 68:4, 69:20, 70:11, 70:15, 72:15, 75:6, 76:5, 79:25, 82:14, 83:22, 83:25, 85:11, 85:13, 86:7, 88:24, 89:23, 91:6, 92:17, 92:24, 94:25, 95:18, 96:15, 97:18, 97:24, 101:5, 101:9, 105:2, 106:5, 106:22, 107:14, 108:3, 116:4, 118:9, 118:11 O'CONNOR [1] - 1:4 O'Connor's [10] - 21:2, 22:2, 22:6, 22:14, 35:17, 63:25, 73:3, 84:17, 86:19, 110:15 objection [41] - 7:24, 8:4,

15:25, 23:19, 25:7, 27:6,

41:12, 42:22, 44:14, 45:17, 46:6, 46:15, 47:11, 47:17, 47:22, 52:3, 52:24, 56:9, 56:16, 58:6, 60:22, 61:5, 62:16, 62:21, 63:3, 64:3, 64:14, 75:12, 78:8, 78:18, 83:5, 86:23, 86:24, 91:8, 91:18, 100:16 objections [2] - 3:4, 62:25 observations [2] - 62:15, 63:14 observed [3] - 63:6, 93:9, 103:5 obviously [1] - 98:7 occasion [9] - 9:15, 12:24, 13:6, 42:20, 96:15, 97:3, 101:18, 102:21, 111:22 occasions [3] - 39:2, 94:22, 101:13 October [1] - 66:19 odd [3] - 105:17, 105:21, 105:23 OF [7] - 1:2, 1:17, 2:6, 114:2, 114:4, 115:2, 115:4 of.. [1] - 24:13 off-the-record [1] - 26:11 offer [3] - 97:13, 97:18, 97:20 offhand [17] - 12:11, 13:9, 14:25, 15:9, 16:16, 18:20, 19:21, 20:10, 22:15, 28:6, 28:23, 29:3, 29:14, 30:2, 38:7, 43:20, 98:19 office [22] - 13:3, 13:4, 14:17, 14:21, 14:22, 17:4, 17:23, 39:7, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 52:2, 59:18, 68:21, 68:22, 68:23, 74:8, 84:17, 85:2, 99:16, 100:5, 101:23 **OFFICES** [1] - 2:6 offices [1] - 41:19 once [2] - 33:14, 39:4 Once [1] - 107:3 one [15] - 7:19, 8:7, 15:21, 17:5, 18:24, 32:17, 46:24, 66:18, 81:3, 88:13, 89:14, 111:10, 111:22, 112:8, 113:5 ones [4] - 81:10, 81:11, 81:12, 81:15 ongoing [1] - 80:13 ongoings [1] - 53:4 operate[2] - 6:17, 96:3 operational [1] - 7:5 operator [1] - 25:14 opportunity [1] - 6:2 or.. [3] - 14:7, 49:12, 52:12 Order [1] - 1:19 order [13] - 14:8, 14:14, 49:10, 49:17, 69:24, 88:11,

88:15, 88:17, 89:23, 95:18, 95:24, 104:5, 105:18 ordered [5] - 13:24, 14:5, 14:6, 14:10, 14:20 ordering [1] - 15:2 original [3] - 3:21, 66:18, 67:11 orthopedist [9] - 56:4, 56:6, 56:13, 57:17, 57:19, 57:20, 58:4, 58:15, 58:23 otherwise [2] - 5:24, 113:7 outcome [1] - 115:19 outside [1] - 51:17 overriding [1] - 104:4 oversee [2] - 7:10, 12:2 overseen [1] - 8:17 oversees [2] - 7:20, 38:3 oversight [1] - 7:5 owed [1] - 59:25 own [2] - 6:17, 6:21 owned [4] - 8:17, 9:5, 9:9, ownership [6] - 9:22, 9:23, 10:5, 10:7, 11:6, 11:9 owns [1] - 27:9

# P

p.m [3] - 72:16, 109:10, 113:16 PAGE [3] - 117:3, 117:7, 118:6 page [4] - 33:9, 34:6, 114:12, 117:25 PAGE(S[1] - 116:10 pages [1] - 114:9 paid [3] ~ 8:25, 27:11, 27:12 PAN [69] - 2:13, 7:24, 8:4, 9:11, 15:25, 23:19, 25:7, 27:6, 30:18, 32:2, 32:8, 34:2, 38:20, 39:15, 39:22, 41:5, 41:12, 42:22, 44:14, 45:17, 46:6, 46:15, 47:11, 47:17, 47:22, 52:3, 52:24, 53:16, 54:20, 55:2, 56:9, 56:16, 58:6, 60:22, 61:5, 62:16, 62:20, 62:24, 64:3, 64:14, 64:16, 65:8, 65:13, 69:4, 71:14, 72:18, 72:20, 74:25, 75:12, 76:7, 77:4, 78:8, 78:18, 78:23, 79:2, 81:16, 83:5, 83:13, 84:9, 86:15, 86:23, 87:22, 91:8, 91:18, 100:16, 104:11, 106:16, 107:2, 107:21 Pan's [1] - 69:11 PAN@TDWPM.COM[1] -2:14

Park [1] - 71:5

part [6] - 16:17, 59:23, 75:23, 77:7, 91:22, 99:24 participate [2] - 10:15, 103:12 participated [5] - 98:10, 100:13, 108:19, 110:14, 111:3 particular [1] - 82:7 parties [9] - 3:4, 21:9, 21:15, 45:21, 45:22, 46:11, 75:6, 115:17, 118:9 partner [1] - 69:10 party [2] - 18:13, 22:2 passed [1] - 10:6 past [3] - 13:13, 19:18, 38:15 patrons [2] - 21:6, 22:2 payable [1] - 14:15 paychecks [5] - 16:16, 16:20, 16:22, 17:2, 17:6 penalty [1] - 113:13 pending [1] - 5:19 people [4] - 8:6, 20:23, 98:5 performance [6] - 21:2, 21:7, 22:3, 22:7, 22:14, 27:24 performed [2] - 26:23, period [1] - 15:23 perjury [1] - 113:13 permissible [1] - 39:18 permitted [2] - 62:3, 95:19 person [10] ~ 13:10, 18:15, 26:18, 46:24, 50:24, 51:2, 65:19, 87:12, 109:4, 112:20 personal [8] - 14:10, 24:7, 25:4, 26:5, 45:9, 45:13, personally [4] - 15:13, 33:22, 84:18, 85:14 peruses [7] - 29:20, 30:23. 66:8, 67:7, 69:19, 71:16, 84:12 **PETIGROW** [1] - 2:11 Phebe [3] - 11:15, 24:24, 67:19 Phillips [87] - 8:8, 15:7, 15:13, 15:22, 16:3, 17:16, 17:21, 18:2, 18:14, 19:16, 22:10, 24:4, 26:4, 26:7, 26:21, 27:11, 28:19, 30:10, 32:10, 32:23, 33:19, 34:22, 35:4, 35:8, 35:12, 39:11, 43:17, 46:12, 46:22, 47:3, 47:16, 47:21, 48:18, 48:20, 49:9, 49:24, 50:10, 50:24, 52:6, 54:10, 54:13, 54:18, 55:6, 60:4, 60:21, 61:4, 61:11, 61:19, 61:22, 62:7,

parking [2] - 86:20, 87:14

62:8, 63:7, 63:15, 64:24, 68:5, 69:22, 70:4, 70:25, 75:7, 75:21, 78:2, 78:6, 79:16, 80:23, 82:2, 83:9, 86:9, 86:18, 87:14, 90:7, 90:11, 91:5, 91:16, 91:20, 92:19, 93:16, 94:23, 95:9, 95:20, 96:8, 97:13, 100:22, 101:4, 101:8, 102:7, 107:5, 118:9 PHILLIPS [1] - 1:8 Phillips' [4] - 8:13, 8:25, 12:4, 13:20 Phillips's [2] - 88:21, 93:9 phone [25] - 20:18, 64:7, 68:13, 76:9, 76:12, 79:10, 81:4, 82:8, 83:10, 83:14, 83:16, 84:18, 85:4, 85:14, 87:12, 87:16, 88:21, 88:23, 101:11, 101:14, 102:13, 102:16, 102:19, 102:22, phones [1] - 40:10 photograph [1] - 82:10 photographs [5] - 53:19, 53:22, 53:25, 76:4, 79:13 physical [2] - 31:24, 32:7 physically [1] - 52:8 pictures [2] - 40:10, 91:3 Pizzeria [7] - 41:23, 41:24, 42:24, 77:2, 86:8, 86:21, 87:15 place [5] - 1:20, 56:23, 68:24, 96:14, 100:4 plaintiff[1] - 4:15 Plaintiff [2] - 1:5, 2:7 Plaintiff's [41] - 23:5, 23:8, 23:12, 23:22, 24:2, 29:17, 30:15, 31:9, 33:7, 66:5, 67:4, 69:16, 71:9, 71:21, 71:25, 72:6, 72:10, 73:8, 73:25, 77:11, 79:20, 80:8, 84:6, 85:17, 85:20, 87:24, 88:5, 89:7, 89:15, 89:19, 90:17, 90:22, 91:10, 92:11, 92:14, 93:4, 97:8, 108:11, 108:15, 109:11, 109:15 PLAINTIFF'S [1] - 117:7 point [9] - 17:4, 49:22, 57:3, 64:8, 76:22, 76:25, 88:24, 98:8, 104:13 police[14] - 30:4, 30:11, 33:20, 40:19, 85:7, 86:2, 86:3, 86:5, 86:6, 109:17, 109:20, 109:21, 110:10, 110:12 Police [1] - 71:5 policies [4] - 93:20, 94:6, 94:11, 94:19 portion [1] - 107:24

position [5] - 8:10, 12:4, 26:8, 26:19, 107:7 possibly [1] - 99:22 Poughkeepsie [6] - 1:14, 2:8, 4:13, 36:17, 41:22 precluded [3] - 95:20, 95:21, 104:5 predecessor [11 - 97:3 preparation [1] - 38:17 prepared [3] - 16:15, 39:19, 40:2 preparing [1] - 38:10 presence [7] - 21:7, 21:25, 29:5, 37:19, 39:10, 103:17. 104:22 PRESENT [1] - 2:16 present [12] - 21:14, 37:17, 39:12, 48:21, 51:5, 86:8, 92:9, 99:8, 99:9, 101:7, 102:15, 102:24 preserved [2] - 42:14, 42:18 president [4] - 6:20, 10:22, 10:23, 111:13 press [3] - 70:7, 70:9, 70:16 previous [4] - 18:6, 25:19, 82:15, 113:8 previously [5] - 23;15, 33:7, 33:25, 45:6, 62:3 print [6] - 79:23, 80:19, 81:2, 82:4, 82:17, 83:9 printable [1] - 85:8 printout [1] - 80:14 printouts [4] - 81:5, 82:14, 82:24, 83:18 privileged [1] - 39:24 proceeded [1] - 48:15 proceedings [2] - 115:11, 115:14 production [3] - 74:14, 75:5, 106:20 promote [1] - 12:15 promotion [1] - 13:20 properly [1] - 21:21 properties [6] - 8:17, 9:5, 11:20, 11:21, 11:24, 27:4 Properties [3] - 9:10, 9:21, 27:3 property [3] - 27:20, 96:4, 104:15 protection [11] - 49:11, 49:17, 69:24, 88:11, 88:16, 88:17, 89:24, 95:18, 95:25, 104:5, 105:18 provide [2] - 15:16, 54:6 provided [1] - 15:13 provides [2] - 7:3, 42:7 providing [2] - 13:19, 15:2 psychiatrist [19] - 49:12, 49:14, 49:20, 50:7, 56:4,

56:6, 56:7, 56:13, 56:14, 56:15, 56:20, 56:22, 56:24, 57:3, 57:12, 58:24, 78:4, 78:14, 79:5

Public [5] - 1:20, 3:13, 4:4, 115:7

PUBLIC [1] - 115:24

Purcell [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 115:7

PURCELL [1] - 115:24

purpose [3] - 11:25, 12:25, 62:4

pursuant [1] - 1:19

put [6] - 50:8, 63:2, 64:5, 74:25, 84:10, 106:22

putting [2] - 20:19, 62:20

# Q

questions [11] - 4:17, 5:19, 35:11, 39:16, 49:4, 54:2, 62:22, 63:11, 64:20, 72:21, 90:14

# R

Re[1] - 116:4 read (8) - 5:3, 26:15, 26:17, 30:19, 34:10, 34:13, 85:2, READ [1] - 116:10 reading [1] - 33:14 realize [6] - 5:23, 44:17, 44:24, 45:2, 49:22, 50:3 really [1] - 10:21 reason [9] - 27:18, 33:24, 62:10, 62:13, 64:23, 68:17, 80:3, 82:13, 93:14 Rebecca [16] - 17:23, 18:11, 31:14, 31:19, 35:22, 36:5, 59:18, 66:17, 67:15, 68:12, 69:2, 98:7, 98:15, 98:17, 98:23, 106:3 receipt [1] - 91:17 receivable [2] - 14:15, 15:6 receive [4] - 12:22, 49:13, 76:4, 93:19 received [9] - 14:2, 14:4, 15:14, 57:3, 73:3, 79:16, 90:3, 91:10, 91:21 receiving [2] - 15:7, 89:22 recent[1] - 52:11 recently [4] - 43:2, 43:3, 43:4, 113:3 reception [1] - 21:15 Recess [2] ~ 87:23, 109:10 recognize [6] - 23:14, 72:13, 88:8, 89:10, 89:12,

89:20

recollection [4] - 32:15, 41:2, 41:14, 113:11 Record [1] - 26:17 record [13] - 4:11, 26:11, 27:8, 42:11, 62:21, 63:3, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:2, 106:24, 107:24, 115:13 reduce [3] - 48:23, 48:25, 63:19 reduced [1] - 63:25 reducing [1] - 95:11 refer[1] - 15:11 reference [2] ~ 90:5, 98:24 referenced [1] - 90:7 referral [1] - 58:15 referred [1] - 77:10 referring [10] - 15:6, 15:19, 16:11, 18:10, 48:3, 76:19, 98:2, 98:6, 99:13, 108:16 refresh [10] - 29:23, 30:25, 33:2, 33:4, 34:18, 35:20, 40:23, 41:9, 106:13, 109:16 refreshes [2] - 29:16, 31:11 regarding [2] - 56:22, 65:20 regional [3] - 12:24, 13:5, 25:14 Reid [9] - 28:10, 29:2, 30:5, 31:24, 32:7, 32:12, 32:16, 40:21, 112:19 related [1] - 115:17 relating [1] - 94:18 relationship [17] - 24:7, 25:4, 25:5, 26:5, 36:22, 36:23, 36:24, 37:4, 37:8, 37:11, 45:10, 45:14, 50:11, 105:17, 105:22, 105:23, relayed [1] - 82:15 relevant [4] - 45:21, 45:22, 46:11, 80:15 remember [13] - 26:14, 49:18, 51:8, 51:9, 54:2, 55:3, 55;4, 57;2, 61;13, 70;20, 73:17, 103:24, 105:13 rental [2] - 9:4, 27:4 rephrase [1] - 34:4 replace [1] - 104:16 replied [1] - 90:2 reply [1] - 71:12 reported [2] - 97:17, 115:11 reporter[11] - 4:21, 26:15, 30:14, 67:3, 69:15, 71:20, 72:5, 79:19, 84:5, 90:16, 97:7 Reporter [1] - 1:25 represent (1) - 4:15 request [6] ~ 88:9, 88:10, 93:12, 106:23, 107:3

requested [4] - 15:23, 16:4,

80:19, 92:23

**REQUESTED** [3] - 75:8, 106:25, 118:6 requesting [1] - 107:25 requests [1] - 93:7 require [1] - 64:5 required [1] - 96:9 reservation [1] - 51:18 reservationist[1] - 51:20 reservations [1] - 12:7 reserve [1] - 40:3 reserved [1] - 3:5 resign [3] - 97:13, 97:18, 97:20 resigned (2) - 97:14, 97:15 resolved [6] - 60:2, 60:3, 60:7, 60:8, 60:10, 60:17 resources [1] - 94:3 respect [4] - 15:8, 19:20, 59:14, 61:16 respective [2] - 3:3, 7:3 respond [6] - 5:9, 66:25, 75:2, 84:16, 88:16, 107:4 responded [2] - 5:14, 6:8 response [4] - 5:13, 5:25, 81:22, 96:17 responses [1] - 4:20 responsibilities [7] - 8:13, 8:16, 11:3, 11:10, 11:17, 11:22, 20:17 responsive [1] - 4:18 restaurant (4) - 12:12. 24:18, 25:23, 94:14 restaurants [4] - 6:17, 6:21, 7:4, 7:12 result [2] - 33:25, 95:15 retaliation [2] - 33:19, review [6] - 30:21, 39:14, 39:21, 40:5, 71:15, 72:21 reviewed [2] - 71:18, 84:10 reviewing [2] - 23:17, 39:17 Rhinebeck [5] - 18:18, 19:10, 19:11, 19:15, 101:19 Rich[8] - 12:19, 41:4, 59:18, 67:17, 68:12, 69:3, 106:3, 108:18 Richard [9] - 10:19, 29:8, 73:6, 73:25, 74:11, 74:15, 75:10, 75:19, 98:3 rights [3] - 49:24, 50:8, 65:16 Road [1] - 4:13 Roger [1] - 22:5 role [4] - 6:16, 14:3, 20:14, 104:14 Room [5] - 101:20, 101:21, 101:25, 102:4, 102:10 room [1] - 102:12 Route [2] - 2:12, 25:20 RPR [1] - 1:25

rumors [2] - 24:9, 45:9 run [1] - 65:14 running [1] - 20:18 Ryan [1] - 43:13

#### S

salary [1] - 8:25 sales [1] - 12:7 sat [6] - 45:25, 46:2, 76:13, 76:16, 76:21, 87:12 saw [20] - 49:19, 55:20, 55:23, 56:5, 56:13, 57:19, 58:4, 58:24, 66:15, 76:6, 76:9, 76:11, 78:5, 79:7, 80:24, 81:2, 81:8, 102:19, 103:5, 105:24 school [1] - 94:13 Schubert [3] - 18:7, 22:9, 97:2 second [2] - 78:11, 84:21 security [2] - 42:4, 43:6 see [42] - 12:2, 21:19, 23:12, 27:19, 29:16, 33:12, 49:15, 53:13, 53:16, 53:19, 56:3, 58:14, 58:22, 59:7, 66:12, 66:21, 67:8, 67:12, 72:11, 72:24, 76:12, 79:8, 79:15, 80:10, 80:17, 81:9, 81:12, 81:15, 84:14, 85:8, 85:10, 85:21, 86:19, 88:5, 88:13, 88:18, 88:20, 90:24, 93:7, 93:11, 109:22, 110:5 seeing [9] - 23:15, 29:21, 29:23, 66:10, 71:9, 78:3, 81:25, 84:22, 90:5 send [3] - 76:2, 107:10, 107:13 sending [1] - 83:24 senior [2] - 29:6, 110:9 sent [6] - 32:11, 66:17, 67:23, 68:9, 81:10, 106:5 sentence [2] - 84:21, 97:23 separate [2] - 7:13, 8:22 series [1] - 4:17 seriously [1] - 48:14 server [1] - 24:17 service (1) - 12:12 **SERVICES** [1] - 1:8 Services [7] - 6:15, 7:2, 7:7, 7:10, 8:18, 10:24, 11:17 session[1] - 97:16 set [2] - 20:20, 115:22 seven [3] - 27:16, 43:5, 43:10 several [3] - 19:17, 38:15, 52:5 share [1] - 68:19 SHEET[1] - 116:2

testifying [1] - 78:15

testimony [4] - 62:19,

shop [2] - 37:12, 37:17 Shorthand [1] - 1:25 SHOULD [1] - 116:10 shoulder[1] - 53:9 show [12] - 23:11, 29:15, 33:6, 65:8, 71:24, 72:9, 80:7, 84:18, 85:14, 89:18, 90:21, 101:13 showed [8] - 78:13, 82:8, 86:10, 101:11, 102:12, 102:16, 102:21, 105:2 showing [2] - 85:20, 88:4 shown [1] - 114:12 similarly [1] - 5:11 single [2] - 96:8, 97:3 sister [4] - 9:25, 10:10, 11:2, 110:24 sister's [1] - 10:11 sit [48] - 13:11, 13:18, 13:23, 14:23, 17:8, 18:21, 19:2, 19:14, 23:17, 23:24, 24:19, 28:21, 29:12, 32:14, 35:6, 35:15, 35:19, 36:19, 38:6, 46:20, 46:25, 48:19, 52:15, 58:3, 59:19, 60:16, 60:19, 61:2, 61:8, 70:24, 73:10, 73:23, 76:24, 77:24, 81:24, 89:4, 90:13, 92:2, 94:17, 95:7, 96:7, 96:21, 101:2, 104:21, 105:14, 106:9, 106:24, 113:6 sitting [2] - 46:4, 77:10 situation [1] - 80:15 six [2] - 43:5, 43:10 so.. [1] - 43:2 someone [5] - 19:22, 28:9, 28:15, 96:14, 112:10 sometime [1] - 77:17 somewhat [1] - 15:12 somewhere [3] - 77:7, 77:12, 91:24 soon [3] - 18:23, 64:25, sorry [3] - 20:15, 66:19, 104:10 source [6] - 30:4, 32:6, 33:17, 34:15, 40:18, 85:5 **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:2 space [1] - 95:20 speaking [5] - 36:9, 46:21, 61:3, 103:25, 110:9 specific [8] - 63:9, 81:25, 94:18, 96:22, 102:18, 105:13, 106:18, 107:18 specifically [16] - 13:22, 33:10, 34:23, 38:5, 38:17, 42:25, 51:13, 54:5, 69:23, 75:18, 94:5, 99:19, 100:9, 103:16, 109:5, 109:7 specifics [2] - 70:20,

103:24 spoken [3] - 47:5, 62:14, 63:12 spouse [1] - 10:15 spreadsheets [4] - 14:17, 14:19, 14:20, 15:5 squeezed [2] - 53:10, 53:12 SR[1] - 1:9 ss [2] - 114:3, 115:3 state [1] - 62:18 State [5] - 1:21, 4:4, 4:10, 37:15, 115.8 STATE [2] - 114:2, 115:2 statement [1] - 63:13 statements [5] - 55:6, 55:10, 63:25, 65:16, 93:17 states [1] - 84:21 STATES [1] - 1:2 still [3] - 104:9, 112:13, 112:22 STIPULATED [3] - 3:2, 3:11, 3:19 stop [4] - 12:24, 65:12, 84:17, 84:25 stopped [1] - 13:5 stopping [1] - 13:2 Street [6] - 1:13, 2:7, 41:20, 68:23, 74:9, 100:5 stuff [4] - 16:21, 24:12, 24:14, 24:15 subject[1] - 114:11 submit [1] - 50:6 Subscribed [2] - 114:22, 116:21 substance [2] - 38:22, 94:9 Super[1] - 96:3 supplement [2] - 5:24, 113:8 surveillance [4] - 42:4, 42:23, 43:7, 43:9 sworn [4] - 3:12, 4:3, 114:22, 116:21

# T

tailor [1] - 17:7

Tassell [1] - 25:12

team [2] - 98:4, 98:6

technically [4] - 6:20,
103:21, 103:22, 103:23

telephone [4] - 50:24,
65:19, 65:25, 87:10

ten [2] - 7:8, 95:5

terminate [5] - 108:17,
108:20, 109:4, 110:15, 111:4

terms [3] - 7:13, 24:13,
46:10

testified [3] - 4:5, 78:12,
113:12

113:8, 114:9, 116:7 text [29] - 75:22, 76:2, 76:5, 79:8, 79:16, 79:24, 80:14, 80:20, 81:2, 81:4, 81:9, 81:25, 82:10, 83:16, 83:17, 83:22, 83:25, 84:18, 85:10, 88:12, 88:18, 88:20, 88:24, 91:2, 102:18, 104:25, 105:24, 107:10, 108:3 Text [2] - 90:19, 117:24 THE [3] - 26:12, 53:18, 98:16 themselves [1] - 96:4 thereafter [1] - 66:20 this.. [2] - 38:16, 76:22 THOMAS [2] - 1:4, 2:11 Thomas [3] - 4:16, 35:17, thomas [1] - 2:16 thread [1] - 90:6 threat [1] - 85:6 threatened [3] - 31:24, 32:7, 33:21 threatening [1] - 85:11 three [4] - 18:13, 35:3, 39:12, 111:2 throughout [1] - 48:16 Thursday [3] - 66:13, 68:25, 73:9 title [5] - 6:16, 6:19, 8:10, 10:20, 20:11 titles [1] - 10:21 to-day [2] - 13:4, 53:4 today [53] - 4:17, 13:11, 13:18, 13:23, 14:23, 17:8, 18:21, 19:2, 19:14, 23:17, 23:24, 24:19, 28:21, 29:12, 32:14, 35:6, 35:15, 35:19, 36:19, 38:6, 38:10, 40:2, 46:20, 46:25, 48:19, 52:15, 58:3, 59:19, 60:16, 60:19, 61:2, 61:8, 70:24, 73:10, 73:23, 77:24, 78:15, 81:24, 89:4, 90:13, 92:2, 92:22, 94:17, 95:7, 96:7, 96:21, 101:2, 104:21, 105:14, 106:10, 106:24, 113:6, 113:9 today's [1] - 38:18 Tom [84] - 19:23, 22:2, 24:8, 25:5, 26:3, 27:18, 27:19, 28:9, 28:24, 30:4, 31:7, 31:20, 32:11, 32:15, 32:22, 33:18, 34:20, 35:2, 35:7, 35:10, 35:23, 36:6, 40:13, 40:14, 40:19, 43:17, 44:7, 44:11, 44:12, 44:15, 44:18, 45:24, 46:3, 46:12, 46:13, 47:2, 47:15, 47:21,

49:8, 49:23, 50:11, 50:23, 53:6, 54:5, 54:17, 61:20, 63:6, 63:14, 67:12, 68:4, 72:15, 73:3, 75:6, 77:20, 79:15, 79:25, 81:10, 82:14, 83:22, 83:24, 85:11, 87:3, 87:5, 89:13, 92:17, 92:24, 94:24, 95:18, 96:15, 97:17, 97:23, 101:5, 101:8, 104:6, 105:17, 105:23, 106:5, 106:21, 107:14, 108:2, 108:7, 118:9, 118:11 Tom's [2] - 99:17, 108:7 tomorrow [2] - 80:16, 85:2 took [4] - 56:23, 94:2, 94:4, 94:19 topic [1] - 36:7 topics [2] - 35:5, 36:8 towards [2] - 17:7, 34:9 traded [1] - 111:19 trained [1] - 13:24 training [15] - 12:22, 13:19, 13:25, 14:2, 14:5, 14:9, 14:11, 14:16, 14:20, 15:3, 15:8, 15:12, 15:14, 15:16, 93:20 transcript[3] - 114:11, 115:13, 116:6 transfer [2] ~ 10:5, 10:7 transferred [1] - 10:8 treated [1] - 48:13 trial [1] - 3:5 TRIAL[1] - 1:17 true [3] - 78:24, 114:10, 115:13 trust [1] - 10:10 truthful [2] - 4:18, 113:12 turn (1) - 34:6 turned [1] - 107:2 twice [1] - 101:15 two [5] - 35:2, 44:2, 52:12, 52:13, 81:14 typically [1] - 7:16

#### IJ

uncle [1] - 9:24 uncle's [1] - 10:2 under[1] - 113:13 understood [3] - 6:8, 45:8, 58:17 UNITED [1] - 1:2 Uno [7] - 41:23, 41:24, 42:3, 42:24, 77:2, 86:8, 87:15 Uno's [1] - 86:21 up [2] - 21:10, 86:10

#### ٧

Van [1] - 25:12 variety [1] - 40:8 **VB**[1] - 1:7 verbal [2] - 4:20, 55:16 verbally [2] ~ 55:11, 55:12 verification [4] ~ 83:4, 83:7, 83:8, 83:11 version[1] - 44:21 via [8] - 45:25, 47:25, 64:18, 82:21, 87:16, 107:9, 107:15, 107:18 vice [4] - 6:20, 10:21, 10:23, 111:13 Village [11] - 8:20, 8:21, 9:2, 9:9, 9:10, 9:15, 26:24, 27:3, 27:5, 27:10, 27:15 violence [2] - 31:24, 32:7 visiting [1] - 11:21 visits [2] - 11:20, 11:24 Vitarius [1] - 111:24 voice [1] - 31:21

# W

wages [1] - 59:24 wait [1] - 107:21 waived [1] - 3:21 WAXMAN [1] - 2:11 Wayfair [5] - 101:20, 101:21, 101:25, 102:4, 102:9 wedding [1] - 21:10 week [5] - 19:17, 38:25, 39:3, 39:5, 52:5 were.. [1] - 98:9 WESTCHESTER [2] -114:4, 115:4 whatsoever [1] - 105:5 WHEREOF [1] - 115:21 whole [2] - 34:13, 48:13 Wild [2] - 25:15, 25:20 Wings [2] - 25:15, 25:20 withdraw [2] - 91:9, 107:23 withdrawn [4] - 16:2, 56:11, 56:18, 91:19 WITNESS [4] - 26:12, 53:18, 98:16, 115:21 witness [5] - 38:21, 54:20, 92:23, 93:17, 114:8 Witness [7] - 29:20, 30:23, 66:8, 67:7, 69:19, 71:16, 84:12 witnesses [4] - 92:18, 93:8, 93:17, 100:19 Wong [1] - 69:11 WONG [69] - 2:13, 7:24, 8:4, 9:11, 15:25, 23:19, 25:7,

27:6, 30:18, 32:2, 32:8, 34:2, 38:20, 39:15, 39:22, 41:5, 41:12, 42:22, 44:14, 45:17, 46:6, 46:15, 47:11, 47:17, 47:22, 52:3, 52:24, 53:16, 54:20, 55:2, 56:9, 56:16, 58:6, 60:22, 61:5, 62:16, 62:20, 62:24, 64:3, 64:14, 64:16, 65:8, 65:13, 69:4, 71:14, 72:18, 72:20, 74:25, 75:12, 76:7, 77:4, 78:8, 78:18, 78:23, 79:2, 81:16, 83:5, 83:13, 84:9, 86:15, 86:23, 87:22, 91:8, 91:18, 100:16, 104:11, 106:16, 107:2, 107:21 WONG-PAN [69] - 2:13,

7:24, 8:4, 9:11, 15:25, 23:19, 25:7, 27:6, 30:18, 32:2, 32:8, 34:2, 38:20, 39:15, 39:22, 41:5, 41:12, 42:22, 44:14, 45:17, 46:6, 46:15, 47:11, 47:17, 47:22, 52:3, 52:24, 53:16, 54:20, 55:2, 56:9, 56:16, 58:6, 60:22, 61:5, 62:16, 62:20, 62:24, 64:3, 64:14, 64:16, 65:8, 65:13, 69:4, 71:14, 72:18, 72:20, 74:25, 75:12, 76:7, 77:4, 78:8, 78:18, 78:23, 79:2, 81:16, 83:5, 83:13, 84:9, 86:15, 86:23, 87:22, 91:8, 91:18, 100:16, 104:11, 106:16, 107:2, 107:21 Wong-Pan's [1] - 69:11 workplace [2] - 93:21, 94:7 writes [1] - 79:15 writing [19] - 48:24, 48:25, 54:6, 54:9, 54:11, 54:12, 54:13, 54:14, 54:18, 55:7, 55:10, 63:19, 64:2, 64:5, 75:2, 82:23, 95:12, 106:23 written [4] - 49:4, 106:20, 108:2, 118:10

# Υ

year [3] - 8:3, 60:10, 93:23 years [3] - 19:18, 20:9, 36:13 YORK [3] - 1:2, 114:2, 115:2 York [8] - 1:14, 1:21, 2:8, 2:13, 4:5, 4:13, 37:15, 115:8 yourself [2] - 34:11, 81:8 yup [40] - 22:12, 33:13, 34:12, 37:9, 44:20, 44:23, 45:11, 60:6, 66:22, 67:9, 67:13, 67:16, 67:18, 67:20, 71:17, 72:4, 72:17, 72:25, 73:18, 79:18, 80:9, 80:11, 80:18, 80:21, 84:15, 84:24, 85:9, 88:7, 88:19, 89:11, 89:21, 90:25, 91:12, 91:15, 97:25, 102:8, 102:11, 108:21, 111:5, 111:8

# **EXHIBIT B**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
THOMAS P. O'CONNOR,	
Plaintiff,	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>
-against-	
BEEKMAN ARMS- DELAMATER INN, INC., BANTA MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., CYNTHIA PHILLIPS, individually, GEORGE E. BANTA, JR., individually, and GEORGE E. BANTA, SR., individually,	14-CV-06237 (VB)
Defendants,	
STATE OF NEW YORK ) ) ss. COUNTY OF DUTCHESS)	
GEORGE RANTA IR being duly sworn denoces and says:	

GEORGE BANTA, JR, being duly sworn deposes and says:

- 1. This Affidavit is submitted in support of Defendants' motion for summary judgment, and annexes documents that were not shown to me at my deposition or marked as exhibits at that deposition.
- 2. My office is at 842 Main Street, Poughkeepsie New York, inside Uno's Chicago Grill, which is a business that my family owns.
- 3. Mr. O'Connor's employment was terminated on November 30, 2012. At the time of the termination, I had received and reviewed the Family Court Petition filed by Ms. Phillips; and the Orders of Protection dated October 26, 2012 and November 16, 2012.
- 4. At the time of the termination, as mentioned during my deposition, I had also received information from Ms. Phillips' therapist, which was a letter requesting a leave of absence. That letter is attached as Exhibit A. Her request was granted.

C6sss 7: 71:4-8:40:4062342-2/BD d2coonement 11: 343 Fiftelet 0 6/9/5/4/8.5 P.R.g.eg & 20 fo 8 2

At the time of the termination, I was aware that Ms. Phillips had seen an 5.

orthopedist,

6 At the time that Mr. O'Connor was terminated, I had received statements from

Kasey Shumway and Heather Benton, annexed as Exhibit B.

I have been told that Mr. O'Connor claims that he was harassed because of an 7.

instruction to follow him to one of the apartments that we own. I have no knowledge of what

Mr. Reid was told by anyone other than myself.

However, the Court should be aware that Ms. Phillips manages apartments that 8.

we own in Rhinebeck, including an apartment at 15 Garden Street, Rhinebeck, New York that

was leased by Jeff Cantor in calendar year 2012. We do not permit any to reside in the

apartments who is not listed on the lease and "Jessica Albizu" has not been one of our tenants at

any apartment.

Attached is the lease for 15 Garden Street, Rhinebeck New York for calendar year 9.

2012.

The foregoing is true and accurate, under penalty of perjury.

George Banta Jr.

Signed and sworn before me this

2<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2015.

Notary Public

MICHELL L. SCHUPNER
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01SC5054756
Qualified in Dutchess County
Commission Expires Jan. 22, 20

# **EXHIBIT C**

# TOLLING AGREEMENT

This Agreement is made by and between Banta Management Services, Inc., Banta BWW, MDT LLC, Banta Nine Mall, LLC, Banta BWW ON, LLC, Banta BWW NB, LLC, George E. Banta, Sr., George E. Banta, Jr., and their officers, agents, parent corporations, subsidiaries, joint employers and/or representatives (collectively "Buffalo Wild Wings" or "Defendants") and Plaintiffs. This agreement is for the benefit of Plaintiffs and "Potential Plaintiffs" as that term is defined. "Potential Plaintiffs" are those servers, bussers, bartenders and other "tipped workers" employed by Buffalo Wild Wings at locations operated by Defendants at Middletown, New York, Wappinger Falls, New York and Oneonta, New York between June 6, 2010 and the date this tolling agreement is ended by either party pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

WHEREAS Plaintiffs and Potential Plaintiffs are or were employees of Buffalo Wild Wings and Plaintiffs have indicated their intent to file an action (the "Action") bringing claims on behalf of themselves and Potential Plaintiffs under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C.§ 201 et seq. ("FLSA") and the New York Labor Law ("NYLL");

WHEREAS, without admitting any liability or likelihood of liability, counsel for Plaintiffs and Potential Plaintiffs and Buffalo Wild Wings agree that pre-litigation discussions of the proposed Action are warranted;

NOW THEREFORE, for good and sufficient consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, Plaintiffs and Potential Plaintiffs and Buffalo Wild Wings hereby agree as follows:

- 1. Tolling Provision. The statute of limitations on any claim brought by Plaintiffs in the Action under the FLSA or NYLL (as described above) shall be tolled during the period of time beginning on the Effective Date of this Agreement (defined below) and ending seven (7) days after either party gives notice of termination to the other as set forth below (hereinafter, the "Tolling Period"). Neither party shall put forward or rely upon the time passing within the Tolling Period as a bar by virtue of the statute of limitations or laches in the Action. Provided, however, nothing in this Agreement shall prohibit Buffalo Wild Wings from relying on the passage of time occurring outside of the Tolling Period for the purposes of asserting the statute of limitations or laches as defenses in the Action. This paragraph does not apply to claims made to enforce this Agreement. Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be deemed as an admission by any party with respect to any allegations or claims.
- 2. Duration. The Effective Date of this Agreement is July 28, 2016, and this Agreement shall terminate seven (7) days after either party gives written notice of cancellation to the other.

- 3. Use of Agreement. During the term of this Agreement, Plaintiffs and Potential Plaintiffs shall refrain and forebear from commencing, instituting, or prosecuting any lawsuit, arbitration, action, or other proceeding against Buffalo Wild Wings raising FLSA or NYLL claims. Furthermore, during the term of this Agreement, Buffalo Wild Wings shall advise counsel for Plaintiffs of any other pending litigation or contemplated litigation on behalf of one or more tipped workers of which Buffalo Wild Wings or its counsel is or becomes aware. Upon execution of this Agreement by the Parties, Plaintiffs' counsel shall disclose to Defendants' counsel the names of the individual plaintiffs who have agreed to be members of the putative class action and/or collective action. During the term of this Agreement, Plaintiffs' counsel agrees that it will not solicit additional employees or former employees of the Defendants to be members of the putative class action and/or collective action. During the term of this agreement, Defendants agree they will not discuss this matter with any putative Plaintiff.
- 4. Modification. This Agreement can be modified only in a writing signed by the parties. This Agreement shall constitute the entire understanding between the parties concerning the subject matter of this Agreement and supersedes and replaces all prior negotiations, proposed agreements, and agreements, written or oral, relating to this subject.
- 5. Successors. This Agreement shall bind and benefit each of the parties and their respective predecessors, successors, and assigns.
- 6. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.
- 7. Execution of Counterparts. Separate counterparts of this Agreement may be executed by the parties with the same force and effect as if all such parties had executed a single copy of this Agreement.
- 8. Authority to Bind. Each Counsel executing this Agreement represents and warrants that he or she has been authorized to enter into this Agreement on behalf of the party on whose behalf it is signed and that signatory has full and complete authority to do so.
- 9. Notices. Any notice, request, instructions or other document to be provided hereunder by either party to the other shall be in writing and delivered personally or mailed by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested (such personally delivered or mailed notice to be effective on the date actually received) or by electronic means as follows:

If to Plaintiffs and Potential Plaintiffs, address to:

If to Plaintiffs and Potential Plaintiffs, address to:

Brian S: Schaffer Fitapelli & Schaffer, LLP 28 Liberty Street New York, New York 10005

If to Defendants, address to:

Benjamin F. Neidl Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP 677 Broadway Albany, New York 12207

Nothwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, either party may alter the name and contact information to whom notice is to be sent under this section, by providing the other party with an updated name and contact information in writing.

Dated: June 6, 2016

Plaintiffs and Potential Plaintiffs

By:

Brian S. Schaffer

Dated: <u>//</u> , 201

Banta Management Services, Inc., Banta BWW, MDT LLC, Banta Nine Mall, LLC, Banta BWW ON, LLC, Banta BWW NB, LLC, George E. Banta, Sr., and George E. Banta, Jr.

Bw.